

Hakcipta © tesis ini adalah milik pengarang dan/atau pemilik hakcipta lain. Salinan boleh dimuat turun untuk kegunaan penyelidikan bukan komersil ataupun pembelajaran individu tanpa kebenaran terlebih dahulu ataupun caj. Tesis ini tidak boleh dihasilkan semula ataupun dipetik secara menyeluruh tanpa memperolehi kebenaran bertulis daripada pemilik hakcipta. Kandungannya tidak boleh diubah dalam format lain tanpa kebenaran rasmi pemilik hakcipta.



**PENGARUH GAYA KEIBUBAAPAN, PENGLIBATAN  
IBU BAPA DAN KECERDASAN EMOSI TERHADAP  
PENCAPAIAN AKADEMIK DI LIMBANG,  
SARAWAK**



**NGU LEH SENG**

**UUM**

**Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**IJAZAH DOKTOR FALSAFAH  
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA  
2017**



Awang Had Salleh  
Graduate School  
of Arts And Sciences

Universiti Utara Malaysia

**PERAKUAN KERJA TESIS / DISERTASI**  
(Certification of thesis / dissertation)

Kami, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa  
(We, the undersigned, certify that)

NGU LEH SENG

calon untuk Ijazah

PhD

(candidate for the degree of)

telah mengemukakan tesis / disertasi yang bertajuk:

(has presented his/her thesis / dissertation of the following title):

**"PENGARUH GAYA KEIBUBAAPAN, PENGLIBATAN IBU BAPA, KECERDASAN EMOSI  
TERHADAP PENCAPAIAN AKADEMIK"**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis / disertasi.  
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the thesis / dissertation).

Bahawa tesis/disertasi tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan, sebagaimana yang ditunjukkan oleh calon dalam ujian lisan yang diadakan pada : **20 Februari 2017.**

*That the said thesis/dissertation is acceptable in form and content and displays a satisfactory knowledge of the field of study as demonstrated by the candidate through an oral examination held on: February 20, 2017.*

Pengerusi Viva:  
(Chairman for VIVA)

Prof. Dr. Nurahimah Mohd Yusoff

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Luar:  
(External Examiner)

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jamal @ Nordin Yunus

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Dalam:  
(Internal Examiner)

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yaakob Daud

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Nama Penyelia/Penyelia-penyelia:  
(Name of Supervisor/Supervisors)

Dr. Arumugam a/l Raman

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Tarikh:

(Date) **February 20, 2017**

## **Kebenaran Mengguna**

Tesis ini dikemukakan sebagai memenuhi keperluan pengijazahan Doktor Falsafah Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok Kedah. Saya bersetuju membenarkan pihak perpustakaan Universiti Utara Malaysia mempamerkannya sebagai bahan rujukan umum. Saya bersetuju bahawa sebahagian bentuk salinan sama ada secara keseluruhan atau sebahagian daripada tesis ini untuk tujuan akademik adalah dibolehkan dengan kebenaran penyelia-penyelia projek penyelidikan ini atau Dekan Awang Had Salleh, Kolej Sastera dan Sains. Sebarang bentuk salinan dan catatan bagi tujuan komersil adalah dilarang sama sekali tanpa kebenaran bertulis daripada penyelidik. Adalah dimaklumkan bahawa pengiktirafan harus diberikan kepada saya dan Universiti Utara Malaysia dalam sebarang kegunaan kesarjanaan terhadap sebarang petikan daripada tesis ini. Sebarang permohonan untuk menyalin atau menggunakan tesis ini sama ada keseluruhan atau sebahagian daripadanya hendak dipohon kepada:



Dekan, Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences

UUM College of Arts and Sciences

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 UUM Sintok

Kedah Darulaman

## Abstrak

Pencapaian akademik menjadi pengukur ilmu pengetahuan yang diperlukan dalam pembangunan sesebuah negara. Namun begitu, wujud jurang pencapaian akademik negara kita dengan peringkat antarabangsa dan antara negeri - negeri terutamanya negeri yang mempunyai banyak sekolah luar bandar seperti di Sarawak. Banyak kajian lepas telah menunjukkan bahawa ibu bapa dan pelajar merupakan faktor yang utama dalam mempengaruhi pencapaian akademik. Tujuan utama kajian ini ialah untuk mengenal pasti jenis gaya keibubapaan, tahap penglibatan ibu bapa, kecerdasan emosi dan pencapaian akademik serta pengaruhnya terhadap pencapaian akademik di Limbang, Sarawak. Instrumen yang digunakan ialah *Parenting Style Scale*, *Inventory of Parental Influence – IPI Campell* dan *The Paternal Involvement Scale (PIS)* dan *Maternal Involvement Scale (MIS)* serta Inventori Kecerdasan Emosi Malaysia – Remaja (IKEM-R) yang telah diubahsuai untuk mengukur gaya keibubapaan, penglibatan ibu bapa dan kecerdasan emosi. Sejumlah 59 item dalam soal selidik dengan menggunakan skala Likert 5 mata digunakan. Responden kajian ini terdiri daripada 406 orang pelajar Tingkatan Lima di sekolah-sekolah menengah Daerah Limbang. Statistik deskriptif digunakan untuk mengukur jenis gaya keibubapaan dan tahap penglibatan ibu bapa, kecerdasan emosi dan pencapaian akademik. SEM-PLS digunakan bagi menguji model pengukuran dan model persamaan struktur. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan paling ramai ibu bapa mengamalkan jenis gaya keibubapaan otoritatif. Tahap penglibatan ibu bapa dan kecerdasan emosi adalah tinggi manakala tahap pencapaian akademik pula adalah sederhana. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua faktor gaya keibubapaan dan penglibatan ibu bapa mempunyai pengaruh yang signifikan ke atas pencapaian akademik dan kecerdasan emosi. Namun, tidak terdapat pengaruh yang signifikan antara kecerdasan emosi dengan pencapaian akademik. Hasil kajian juga menunjukkan bahawa kecerdasan emosi bukan pembolehubah perantara bagi gaya keibubapaan dengan pencapaian akademik dan penglibatan ibu bapa dengan pencapaian akademik. Dapatan kajian ini memberi manfaat kepada pelajar, ibu bapa, dan para pendidik di sekolah, Jabatan dan Kementerian Pelajaran sebagai panduan bagi melahirkan pelajar yang dapat mengembangkan potensi mereka secara optimum dan menyeluruh agar boleh menjadi insan yang cemerlang selaras dengan Falsafah Pendidikan Kebangsaan.

**Kata kunci:** Gaya keibubapaan, Penglibatan ibu bapa, Kecerdasan emosi, Pencapaian akademik

## Abstract

Academic achievement is a measurement of knowledge required in the development of a country. However, there is a gap in academic achievement between our country with other countries and between the states within our own country especially states with many rural schools such as in Sarawak. Many previous studies have shown that parents and students are the major factors in influencing academic achievement. The main purpose of this study was to identify the type of parenting styles, the level of parental involvement, emotional intelligence and academic achievement as well as their effect on academic achievement in Limbang, Sarawak. Instrument used were Parenting Styles Scale, Inventory of Parental Influence - IPI Campell and The Paternal Involvement Scale (PIS) and Maternal Involvement Scale (MIS) and the Inventory of Emotional Intelligence Malaysia - Youth (KEM-R) which has been modified to measure parenting styles, parental involvement and emotional intelligence. A total of 59 items in the questionnaire using 5 point Likert scale was used. The respondents consist of 406 Form Five students in secondary schools in Limbang. Descriptive were used to measure the type of parenting styles and the level of parental involvement, emotional intelligence and academic achievement. SEM-PLS was used to test the measurement model and structural equation model. The findings showed that many parents adopt an authoritative parenting style. The level of parental involvement and emotional intelligence were high while the level of academic achievement was moderate. The results of the study showed that both parenting styles and parental involvement faktor have significant influence on academic achievement and emotional intelligence. However, there was no significant relationship between emotional intelligence and academic achievement. The study also shows that emotional intelligence is not a mediator variable of parenting styles and academic achievement and parental involvement and academic achievement. The findings of this study will beneficial to students, parents, and educators in schools, the Department and the Ministry of Education as a guide to produce students who are able to develop their potential optimally and comprehensively to be excellent humans in line with the National Education Philosophy.

**Keywords:** Parenting style, Parental involvement, Emotional intelligence, Academic achievement

## Senarai Kandungan

Kebenaran Mengguna.....	i
Abstrak.....	ii
Abstract.....	iii
Senarai kandungan.....	iv
Penghargaan.....	x
Senarai Jadual.....	xi
Senarai Rajah.....	xiv
Senarai Singkatan.....	xv
<b>BAB SATU: PENGENALAN.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Pendahuluan.....	1
1.2 Pernyataan Masalah.....	7
1.3 Objektif Kajian.....	15
1.4 Persoalan kajian.....	16
1.5 Hipotesis Kajian.....	16
1.6 Kepentingan Kajian.....	17
1.6.1 Pelajar .....	19
1.6.2 Pihak sekolah.....	19
1.6.3 Kementerian Pendidikan.....	19
1.7 Batasan Kajian.....	20
1.8 Definisi Operasional.....	21
1.8.1 Gaya keibubapaan.....	22
1.8.1.1 Gaya keibubapaan Otoritatif.....	22
1.8.1.2 Gaya keibubapaan Otoritarian.....	23
1.8.1.3 Gaya keibubapaan Pemisif.....	23
1.8.1.4 Gaya keibubapaan Abai.....	24
1.8.2 Penglibatan Ibu Bapa.....	24
1.8.3 Kecerdasan Emosi.....	25
1.8.3.1 Kesedaran sendiri.....	25
1.8.3.2 Regulasi sendiri.....	25
1.8.3.3 Motivasi sendiri.....	25
1.8.3.4 Empati .....	26

1.8.3.5	Kemahiran sosial	26
1.8.4	Pencapaian Akademik.....	26
1.9	Kerangka Teori Kajian.....	27
1.10	Kerangka konseptual kajian.....	30
1.11	Kesimpulan.....	31
<b>BAB DUA: SOROTAN KARYA.....</b>		<b>32</b>
2.1	Pendahuluan.....	32
2.2	Teori-teori dan model.....	32
2.2.1	Teori Kognitif Sosial.....	33
2.2.2	Teori Perkembangan Ekologi Manusia.....	36
2.3	Tipologi Gaya Keibubapaan.....	40
2.3.1	Responsif.....	41
2.3.2	Mendesak.....	41
2.4	Empat Jenis Gaya Keibubapaan.....	41
2.4.1	Gaya keibubapaan Otoritatif.....	42
2.4.2	Gaya keibubapaan Otoritarian .....	43
2.4.3	Gaya keibubapaan Pemisif.....	44
2.4.4	Gaya keibubapaan Abai.....	45
2.5	Model Penglibatan Ibu Bapa.....	46
2.5.1	Model penglibatan ibu bapa Joyce Epstein.....	46
2.5.2	Model penglibatan ibu bapa Hoover-Dempsey-Sandler.....	48
2.6	Model Kecerdasan Emosi.....	52
2.6.1	Model Keupayaan Kecerdasan Emosi.....	55
2.6.2	Model Inventori Kompetensi kecerdasan Emosi.....	58
2.6.3	Model Campuran Kecerdasan Emosi.....	62
2.6.3.1	Kesedaran sendiri.....	62
2.6.3.2	Regulasi sendiri.....	65
2.6.3.3	Motivasi sendiri.....	69
2.6.3.4	Empati .....	72
2.6.3.5	Kemahiran sosial.....	74
2.7	Instrumen Kecerdasan Emosi.....	82
2.8	Gaya keibubapaan dan pencapaian akademik.....	85



2.8.1	Gaya keibubapaan otoritatif dan pencapaian akademik.....	87
2.8.2	Gaya keibubapaan otoritarian dan pencapaian akademik.....	89
2.8.3	Gaya keibubapaan pemisif dan pencapaian akademik.....	91
2.8.4	Gaya keibubapaan abai dan pencapaian akademik.....	91
2.8.5	Kesimpulan.....	92
2.9	Penglibatan ibu bapa dan pencapaian akademik.....	92
2.9.1	Hubungan Komunikasi dan pencapaian akademik.....	94
2.9.2	Minat kerja rumah dan pencapaian akademik.....	100
2.10	Kecerdasan emosi dan pencapaian akademik.....	101
2.11	Gaya keibubapaan dan kecerdasan emosi.....	107
2.12	Penglibatan ibu bapa dan kecerdasan emosi.....	109
2.13	Kesimpulan.....	110
<b>BAB TIGA : KAEDAH PENYELIDIKAN.....</b>		<b>112</b>
3.1	Pendahuluan.....	112
3.2	Reka bentuk kajian.....	112
3.3	Populasi dan persampelan.....	113
3.4	Instrumen Kajian.....	115
3.4.1	Bahagian A : Profil responden.....	115
3.4.2	Bahagian B : Gaya keibubapaan.....	115
3.4.3	Bahagian C : Penglibatan ibu bapa.....	117
3.4.4	Bahagian D : Kecerdasan emosi.....	118
3.5	Laporan kajian rintis.....	120
3.5.1	Analisis kebolehpercayaan.....	121
3.5.2	Analisis kesahan.....	123
3.6	Prosedur Mengumpul Data.....	126
3.7	Analisis Data.....	127
3.7.1	Pengimbasan Data.....	128
3.7.1.1.	Multivariate Outlier.....	129
3.7.1.2	Normaliti.....	129
3.7.2	Menguji Kesahan Model Pengukuran.....	130
3.7.3	Menguji Kesahan Model Persamaan Struktur.....	130
3.7.3.1	Penilaian Isu Kolineariti.....	130
3.7.3.2	Koefisien laluan.....	131

3.7.3.3	Tahap ketepatan ramalan .....	131
3.7.3.4	Kesan saiz konstruk ramalan.....	132
3.7.3.5	Pengukuran Construct Crossvalidated Reduncancy (Q <sup>2</sup> ).....	132
3.7.3.6	Saiz kesan kerelevanan.....	132
3.8	Kesimpulan.....	135
<b>BAB EMPAT : KEPUTUSAN KAJIAN.....</b>		<b>136</b>
4.1	Pengenalan.....	136
4.2	Latar Belakang Responden.....	136
4.2.1	Responden kajian mengikut sekolah.....	137
4.2.2	Responden kajian mengikut Jantina dan bangsa.....	138
4.2.3	Responden kajian mengikut Peringkat Pendidikan dan Pekerjaan ibu bapa.....	139
4.2.4	Responden kajian mengikut Pencapaian Akademik.....	141
4.3	Pengimbasan Data.....	142
4.3.1	Penyusunan Semula Data .....	142
4.3.2	Data <i>Outlier</i> .....	143
4.3.3	Taburan Data Normal.....	144
4.4	Pengkategorian Gaya Keibubapaan.....	145
4.5	Jenis Gaya Keibubapaan.....	146
4.6	Tahap Penglibatan Ibu Bapa, tahap kecerdasan emosi dan tahap pencapaian akademik.....	147
4.6.1	Tahap penglibatan ibu bapa.....	148
4.6.2	Tahap kecerdasan emosi.....	149
4.6.3	Tahap pencapaian akademik.....	149
4.7	Pengaruh gaya keibubapaan, penglibatan ibu bapa dan kecerdasan emosi terhadap pencapaian akademik.....	150
4.7.1	Pengujian model pengukuran.....	151
4.7.2	Pengujian model struktur persamaan	158
4.7.2.1	Penilaian Isu Kolineariti.....	159
4.7.2.2	Pengujian Hipotesis kajian.....	160
4.7.2.3	Pengujian Ketepatan Model Peramal.....	163
4.7.2.4	Penilaian Saiz Kesan $f^2$ .....	164

4.7.2.5	Penilaian Kerelevanan Peramalan $Q^2$ .....	165
4.8	Pengujian kecerdasan emosi sebagai perantara antara gaya keibubapaan dan penglibatan ibu bapa dengan pencapaian akademik.....	166
4.8.1	Pengujian kecerdasan emosi sebagai perantara antara gaya keibubapaan dengan pencapaian akademik dengan pencapaian akademik.....	166
4.8.2	Pengujian kecerdasan emosi sebagai perantara antara penglibatan ibu bapa dengan pencapaian akademik.....	169
4.9	Rumusan Dapatan Kajian.....	171
4.10	Kesimpulan.....	171
	<b>BAB LIMA KESIMPULAN, PERBINCANGAN DAN IMPLIKASI.....</b>	<b>173</b>
5.1	Pengenalan.....	173
5.2	Rumusan Dapatan .....	173
5.3	Perbincangan.....	176
5.3.1	Jenis Gaya Keibubapaan.....	176
5.3.2	Tahap Penglibatan Ibu Bapa, kecerdasan emosi dan pencapaian akademik.....	177
5.3.2.1	Tahap Penglibatan ibu bapa.....	177
5.3.2.2	Tahap kecerdasan emosi.....	179
5.3.2.3	Tahap pencapaian akademik.....	180
5.3.3	Pengaruh gaya keibubapaan, penglibatan ibu bapa, kecerdasan emosi terhadap pencapaian akademik.....	180
5.3.3.1	Pengaruh gaya keibubapaan terhadap pencapaian akademik.....	180
5.3.3.2	Pengaruh penglibatan ibu bapa terhadap pencapaian akademik.....	181
5.3.3.3	Pengaruh kecerdasan emosi terhadap pencapaian akademik.....	182
5.3.3.4	Pengaruh gaya keibubapaan terhadap kecerdasan emosi.....	183
5.3.3.5	Pengaruh penglibatan ibu bapa terhadap kecerdasan emosi.....	184

5.3.4	Kecerdasan emosi sebagai perantara .....	184
5.4	Rumusan.....	185
5.5	Implikasi Kajian.....	187
5.5.1	Implikasi Teoritikal.....	188
5.5.2	Implikasi Praktikal.....	189
5.6	Cadangan Kajian Masa Depan.....	190
	<b>Rujukan</b> .....	192
	<b>Lampiran</b> .....	206



**UUM**  
Universiti Utara Malaysia

## **Penghargaan**


Setinggi penghargaan dan jutaan terima kasih khusus kepada penyelia saya Dr. Arumugam A/L Raman dan Dr Muhajir Taslikhan serta bekas penyelia saya Prof Madya Dr. Zahyah Bin Hanafi atas kesungguhan dan ketekunan berkongsi ilmu dan pengalaman, kesabaran memberi bimbingan dan tunjuk ajar serta keikhlasan memberi teguran, nasihat dan motivasi. Ucapan ribuan terima kasih diucapkan kepada Dr Mohd Sobhi Ishak, guru statistik yang banyak mengajar dan membimbing metodologi SEM PLS sepanjang penganalisaan data dijalankan. Ucapan terima kasih ditujukan kepada Universiti Utara Malaysia yang telah memberi peluang dan ruang kepada penyelidik melanjutkan pengajian di peringkat ijazah doktor falsafah ini. Terima kasih juga pada semua pengetua dan pelajar-pelajar Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Bahagian Limbang atas kerjasama dalam melengkapkan soal selidik yang diberikan. Ucapan ribuan terima kasih kepada rakan-rakan PhD seperjuangan, Dr. Ainimazita, Ika Indra, Pak Abdul Haris, Linggoh Utan, Wong Nguok Ling, Johnattan James, Stacy Goh, Mali, Etri, Dr. Sarina dan Saini yang sentiasa berkongsi ilmu dan pengalaman yang begitu bermakna dalam menyiapkan pengajian ini. Ucapan ribuan terima kasih juga kepada Tuan Pengetua SMK Chung Hua Miri, Encik Wong Kiing Kui, kedua-dua bekas pengetua SMK Kubong, Limbang, Cikgu Mary Ng Siew Huan dan Cikgu Raja Manikam atas sokongan yang diberikan. Akhir sekali teristimewa buat suami tercinta Thomas Ling Ming Tieng, anakanda yang disayangi Mary, John dan James, kekanda, adinda dan ibunda, ucapan jutaan terima kasih atas sokongan, dorongan, pengorbanan dan limpahan doa kalian yang tidak pernah putus selama ini. Semoga segala sumbangan, pengorbanan dan jasa baik yang diberikan oleh semua pihak mendapat keberkatan daripada Tuhan.

## Senarai Jadual

Jadual 1.1	Prestasi Matematik dan Sains tahun 1999-2009 dalam TIMSS.....	8
Jadual 1.2	Perbandingan Prestasi Merentas Negeri bagi UPSR dan SPM 2011.....	9
Jadual 1.3	Keputusan Peperiksaan Penilaian Menengah Rendah (PMR) Mengikut Gred Purata sekolah (GPS) di daerah Limbang, Sarawak dan Malaysia antara Tahun 2009-2011.....	11
Jadual 1.4	Perbandingan Keputusan PMR Antara Limbang Dengan Peringkat Negeri Sarawak dan Malaysia Pada Tahun 2010 Dan 2011.....	11
Jadual 2.1	Model Proses Penglibatan ibu bapa oleh Hoover-Dempsey dan Sandler (1995, 1997, 2005).....	50
Jadual 2.2	Model Kecerdasan Emosi Bar-On (1997).....	59
Jadual 2.3	Dimensi dan Subdimensi Kecerdasan Emosi Goleman (1998).....	63
Jadual 2.4	Dimensi dan Subdimensi Kecerdasan Emosi Goleman (2001).....	79
Jadual 2.5	Perbandingan Model Kecerdasan Emosi.....	80
Jadual 2.6	Instrumen Kecerdasan Emosi.....	83
Jadual 3.1	Populasi Pelajar di Sekolah-sekolah Menengah Bahagian Limbang.....	113
Jadual 3.2	Sampel kajian.....	114
Jadual 3.3	Pembahagian Item Berdasarkan Gaya Keibubapaan.....	117
Jadual 3.4	Agihan item-item bagi pembolehubah kecerdasan emosi.....	118
Jadual 3.5	Bilangan item mengikut setiap pembolehubah di semua bahagian dalam soal selidik.....	119
Jadual 3.6	Nilai Alpha Cronbach bagi item-item kajian.....	122
Jadual 3.7	Agihan Item kecerdasan emosi selepas analisis penerokaan faktor.....	122
Jadual 3.8	KMO dan Ujian Bartlett's bagi pembolehubah gaya keibubapaan.....	125
Jadual 3.9	KMO dan Ujian Bartlett's bagi pembolehubah penglibatan ibu bapa.....	126
Jadual 3.10	KMO dan Ujian Bartlett's bagi pembolehubah kecerdasan	

	emosi.....	126
Jadual 3.11	Alat pengujian Keseluruhan Model.....	133
Jadual 3.12	Jenis ujian berdasarkan persoalan kajian.....	134
Jadual 4.1	Bilangan dan peratusan responden mengikut sekolah .....	137
Jadual 4.2	Bilangan dan peratusan responden mengikut jantina .....	138
Jadual 4.3	Bilangan dan peratusan responden mengikut bangsa .....	138
Jadual 4.4	Bilangan dan peratusan responden mengikut peringkat pendidikan ibu bapa.....	140
Jadual 4.5	Bilangan dan peratusan responden mengikut pekerjaan ibu bapa dalam .....	140
Jadual 4.6	Bilangan dan peratusan responden mengikut tahap dan gred pencapaian mata pelajaran Bahasa Melayu, Bahasa Inggeris, Matematik dan Sains.....	141
Jadual 4.7	Penyusunan semula item-item bagi dimensi mendesak.....	143
Jadual 4.8	Kes-kes <i>outlier</i> .....	144
Jadual 4.9	Nilai Skewness dan kurtosis bagi pembolehubah kajian.....	144
Jadual 4.10	Nilai min, minimum, maksimum data responsif dan mendesak.....	145
Jadual 4.11	Min, Minimum, maksimum, Sisihan Piawai Bagi Dimensi Gaya Keibubapaan.....	147
Jadual 4.12	Nilai Min Bagi Tahap Penglibatan Ibu Bapa.....	148
Jadual 4.13	Min, Minimum, maksimum, Sisihan Piawai setiap Pembolehubah kajian.....	148
Jadual 4.14	Min, Minimum, maksimum, Sisihan Piawai Bagi Dimensi Kecerdasan Emosi.....	149
Jadual 4.15	Nilai Min Mengikut Tahap Pencapaian Akademik.....	150
Jadual 4.16	Min, Minimum, maksimum, Sisihan Piawai Bagi Mata pelajaran BM, BI, SN dan MM .....	150
Jadual 4.17	Rumusan nilai faktor muatan, kebolehpercayaan indikator, kebolehpercayaan komposit, AVE dan Analisis diskriminasi bagi pembolehubah .....	156
Jadual 4.18	Nilai Muatan Silang.....	157
Jadual 4.19	Nilai Heterotrait Monotrait Ratio (HTMT).....	158

Jadual 4.20	Nilai VIF .....	160
Jadual 4.21	Penilaian Model Struktur.....	161
Jadual 4.22	Penerangan Varians dalam pembolehubah endogen.....	164
Jadual 4.23	Tahap Saiz Kesan.....	164
Jadual 4.24	Nilai Saiz Kesan ( $f^2$ ).....	165
Jadual 4.25	Nilai Construct Crossvalidated Redundancy $Q^2$ .....	166
Jadual 4.26	Nilai Beta bagi Direct effects antara gaya keibubapaan dengan pencapaian akademik.....	167
Jadual 4.27	Nilai Beta bagi indirect effects antara gaya keibubapaan dengan pencapaian akademik.....	168
Jadual 4.28	Nilai Beta Pengujian Bootstrap antara gaya keibubapaan, kecerdasan emosi dan pencapaian akademik.....	169
Jadual 4.29	Nilai Beta Pengujian Bootstrap antara penglibatan ibu bapa dengan pencapaian akademik.....	170
Jadual 4.30	Rumusan Dapatan Kajian.....	171



Universiti Utara Malaysia



## Senarai Rajah

Rajah 1.1	Kegunaan masa murid.....	5
Rajah 1.2	Kerangka Konseptual Kajian.....	30
Rajah 2.1	Perhubungan Tingkah Laku, kognitif dan peribadi, dan persekitaran oleh Bandura (1986).....	33
Rajah 2.2	Model Perkembangan Ekologi Manusia Bronfenbrenner 2005.....	37
Rajah 2.3	Dimensi dan gaya keibubapaan.....	42
Rajah 2.4	Model Kecerdasan Emosi.....	55
Rajah 2.5	Model Empat Cabang Kecerdasan Emosi oleh Mayer dan Salovey 1997.....	58
Rajah 4.1	Output Model Pengukuran Kajian.....	152
Rajah 4.2	Model Kajian .....	154
Rajah 4.3	Model Struktur Kajian.....	159
Rajah 4.4	Model pengujian gaya keibubapaan dengan pencapaian akademik.....	167
Rajah 4.5	Model pengujian kecerdasan emosi sebagai perantara bagi gaya keibubapaan dengan pencapaian akademik.....	168
Rajah 4.6	Hasil bootstrap antara penglibatan ibu bapa dengan pencapaian akademik.....	170
Rajah 4.7	Hasil bootstrap antara penglibatan ibu bapa dan kecerdasan emosi dengan pencapaian akademik.....	170

## Senarai Singkatan

PPPM	Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia
UNICEF	The United Nations Children's Fund
ESEA	Educate America Act and the Reauthorized Elementary and Secondary Education Act
GTP	Sekolah Berprestasi Tinggi
SBT	Sekolah Berprestasi Tinggi
NKRA	Bidang Keberhasilan Utama Negara
UNESCO	The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
JPN	Jabatan Pelajaran Negeri
PPD	Pejabat Pelajaran Daerah
ICT	Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi
TIMSS	Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study
UPSR	Ujian Pencapaian Sekolah Rendah
SPM	Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia
GPS	Gred Purata Sekolah
GPA	Gred Point Average

# **BAB SATU**

## **Pengenalan**

### **1.1 Pendahuluan**

Tahap pencapaian akademik pelajar masih merupakan isu yang sering mendapat perhatian daripada pelbagai pihak baik dalam negara mahupun di peringkat antarabangsa. Ini adalah kerana pencapaian akademik menjadi pengukuran bagi tahap ilmu pengetahuan yang dimiliki oleh seseorang (Low, 2011). Contohnya, seseorang yang tinggi pencapaian akademik merupakan orang yang juga tinggi ilmu pengetahuannya.

Sesebuah negara yang ingin maju harus mempunyai rakyat yang berilmu pengetahuan tinggi. Ilmu pengetahuan yang dimiliki oleh rakyat amat penting dalam menyumbang kepada pembangunan sesebuah negara terutamanya dalam bidang ekonomi, sains dan teknologi. Ilmu pengetahuan juga merupakan penyumbang utama dalam pembangunan modal insan, pencetus kreativiti dan penjana inovasi agar generasi muda mampu bersaing di peringkat global dan menjadi pengupaya perkembangan ekonomi keseluruhannya (Mohd Najib Bin Tun Haji Abdul Razak, 2013). Seseorang yang berilmu pengetahuan berjaya meningkatkan produktif dan seterusnya menjadi penyumbang kepada pembangunan negara (PPPM 2013-2025). Ini dapat melahirkan rakyat yang berminda kelas pertama seperti yang dihasrat oleh kerajaan dalam Wawasan 2020 iaitu dalam bidang keberhasilan utama negara (NKRA), Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia (PPPM) dan Program Transformasi Kerajaan.

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## RUJUKAN.

- Abd. Razak Zakaria & Norani Mohd Salleh. (2011). Konteks keluarga dan hubungannya dengan penglibatan ibu bapa dalam pendidikan anak-anak di sekolah menengah. *Jurnal Pendidikan Malaysia* 36(1): 35-44.
- Abd. Razak Bin Zakaria, Zuwati Hasim, Umi Kalsum Mohd Salleh, & Jal Zabdi Mohd Yusoff. (2013). Family context and its relationship with parental involvement in the education of secondary school children. *International Journal of Asian Social Science*. 3(4):1063-1076.
- Abdorreza. K, & Baharudin. R., (2010). Parenting attitudes and style and its effect on children's school achievements. *International Journal of Psychological Studies*, 2(2): 217-222.
- Adeoye, H. & Emeke, E-A. (2010). Emotional intelligence and self-efficacy as determinants of academic achievement in English language among students in Oyo State senior secondary schools. *IFE Psychologia : An International Journal* 18(1): 232-251.
- Aghili, M. & Kashani, M. (2011). Study of the relationship between parenting style and children's emotional intelligence and self-efficacy. *Journal of American Science*, 7(7): 380-384.
- Akta Pendidikan, 1996 (*Akta 550*) & *Peraturan-peraturan Terpilih*. (2001). Selangor Darul Ehsan: *International Law Book Services*, 218-219.
- Alberto, A. (2011). Parental styles and children's emotional intelligence: what do we know? *Family Journal* 19(1): 56-62.
- Alumran, J-A., & Punamaki, R.L. (2008). Relationship between gender, age, academic achievement, emotional intelligence, and coping styles in Bahraini adolescents. *Individual Differences Research*. 6(2): 104-119.
- Anastasi, A. (1982). *Psychological Testing*. New York: Macmillan Publishing CO., INC.
- Ang, R.P. & Goh, D.H. (2006). Authoritarian parenting style in Asian societies: a cluster- analytic investigation. *Contemporary Family Therapy*, 28(1) 131-151.
- Annunziata, D., Hogue, A., Faw, L., & Liddle, H. A. (2006). Family functioning and school success in at-risk, inner-city adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 35: 105–113.
- Aremu, A. O. (2000). Impact of home, school, and government on primary school pupils academic performance. *The Exceptional child*, 5(1), 106-110.

- Aremu, A.O. (2004). Psychological and sociological determinat of academic achievement of Nigeria adolescents. *Ife Psychologia. An International Journal of Psychology in Africa*, 12(2), 149-161.
- Aremu, O. A., Tella, A & Tella, (2012). Relationship among emotional intelligence, parental involvement and academic achievement of secondary school students in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Essay in Education*, 18(0), 1-14.
- Arnold, D. H., Zeljo, A. & Doctoroff, G. l. (2008). Parent involvement in preschool: Predictors and the relation of involvement to pre-literacy development. *School Psychology Review*. 37(1): 74-89.
- Azizi Hj. Yahaya, Shahrin Hashim & Nordiana binti Mohd Nor. (2009). Hubungan kecerdasan emosi dengan prestasi pencapaian akademik. *Jurnal Pendidikan Universiti Teknologi Malaysia*, 14: 47-63.
- Azizi Hj Yahaya, Shahrin Hashim & Halimah Ma'alip. (2008). *Konsep sendiri dengan kemahiran komunikasi*. UTM Press.
- Azizi Hj. Yahaya & Noordin Yahaya. (2008). *Amalan keibubapaan dari perspektif anak-anak keluarga miskin*. UTM Press.
- Azizi Yahaya, Noordin Yahaya, & Mohd Sofie Bin Bahari. (2004). *Pengaruh Affect Related Characteristic, Kesan Tanggapan Bahaya Dan Gaya Asuhan Ibu Bapa Terhadap Salah Laku Remaja Di Zon Skudai, Negeri Johor*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Azizi Yahaya & Yusof Boon. (2008). *Permasalahan sosial di kalangan remaja: satu cabaran*. UTM. Press.
- Rancangan Malaysia ke-11: Bab 5: *Pembangunan modal insan*.  
<https://www.slideshare.net/Fadzliaton/rancangan-malaysia-ke11-bab-5-pembangunan-modal-insan>.
- Baker, D.P., & Stevenson, D.L. (1986). Mothers' strategies for children's school achievement: Managing the transition to high school. *Sociology of Education*, 59 : 156–166.
- Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: a social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, Nj : Prentice-Hall.
- Bar-On, R. & Parker, J.D. (2000). *Bar-On emotional intelligence quotient inventory: Youth version (Bar-On EQ-i:YV)*. New York: Multi Health Systems.
- Baumrind, D. (1971). Current patterns of parental authority. *Developmental psychology*, 4(1, Pt.2), 1-103.
- Baumrind, D. (1972). An explanatory study of socialization effects on black children:Some black-white comparisons. *Child Development*, 43(1), 261-267.

- Baumrind, D. (1978). Parental disciplinary patterns and social competence in children. *Youth and Society*, 9, 239-276.
- Baumrind, D. (1991). The influence of parenting style on adolescent competence and substance use. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 11(1): 56-95.
- Baumrind, D. (1995). *Child maltreatment and optimal caregiving in social contexts*. New York: Garland Publishing.
- Berns, R.M. (2004). *Child, family, school, community socialization and support*. (6<sup>th</sup> ed). Thomson Wadsworth. USA.
- Black, J.A., & Champion, D.J. (1976). *Methods and issues in social research*. New York: John Wiley & Son, Inc.
- Boon, H.J. (2007). Low and high-achieving Australian secondary school students : their parenting, motivations and academic achievement. *Australian Psychologist*. 42(3): 212 – 225.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1986). Ecology of the family as a context for human development: research perspectives. *Development Psychology*. 22(6): 723-742.
- Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (1998). The ecology of developmental processes. In W.Damon (Series Ed.) & R. M. Lerner (Vol. Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology. 1: Theoretical models of human development* : 993-1028 New York: Wiley.
- Bryman, A. & Bell, E. (2003). *Business research methods*. Oxford University Press.
- Carmines, E. G., & Zeller, R. A. (1979). *Reliability and Validity assessment*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Chan, S.G., & Rodziah Mohamad Nor. (2012). Kecerdasan emosi dan hubungannya dengan perilaku dan prestasi akademik pelajar sekolah menengah di Bachok, Kelantan. *Akademika* 82(2): 109-118.
- Chao, R.K. (2001). Extending research on the consequences of parenting style for Chinese Americans and Europeans. *Child Development*, 72(6): 1832-1843.
- Cheah, C.S.L., Leung, C.Y.Y. & Zhou, N. (2013). Understanding “Tiger Parentig” through the perceptions of Chinese immigrant mothers : can Chinese and U.S. parenting coexist? *Asian American Journal of Psychology*. 4(1), 30-40.
- Chen,X., Dong,Q & Zhou, H. (1997). Anthoritative and Authoritarian parenting practices and social and school performance in Chinese children. *International Journal of behavioral development*, 21(4), 855-873.

- Cheng, L., Dai, Y., Zhu, Z., Xie, X. & Chen, L. (2011). *Development and validation of a parenting assessment tool for Chinese parents*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Child: care, health and development, 38(4): 588-594.
- Chhokar, K.B. (2010). Sustainability in higher education. *International Journal of Education*, 11(2): 141-152.
- Chin, W.W. (1998). Issues and opinion on structural equation modeling, *MIS Quarterly*. 22(1): 7-16.
- Chin, W. W., and Newsted, P. R. (1999). *Structural Equation Modeling, Analysis with Small Samples Using Partial Least Squares*, in *Statistical Strategies for Small Sample Research*. Rick Hoyle (ed.), Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications: 307-341.
- Chua, Y.P., (2011). *Kaedah dan statistic penyelidikan. Buku 1: Kaedah penyelidikan*. Edisi kedua. McGraw Hill Education. Kuala Lumpur.
- Cooper, H., Lindsay, J.J., Nye, B., & Greathouse, S. (1998). Relationships among attitudes about homework, amount of homework assigned and completed, and student achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 90(1): 70-83.
- Cramer, D (2003) *Advanced quantitative data analysis*, Open University Press, ISBN: 0 335 20062-1.
- Creswell, J.W. (2008). *Educational research: planning, conduction, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Pearson International Edition. New Jersey.
- Darling, N. (1999). Parenting Style and its correlates. Clearinghouse on elementary and early childhood education. <http://www.ericdigests.org/1999-4/parenting.htm>.
- Dearing, E., Kreider, H., Simpkins, S., & Weiss, H. B. (2006). Family involvement in school and low-income children's literacy: Longitudinal association between and within families. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98: 653–664. doi:0.1177/027243169401400208.
- Deslanders, R. & Betrand, R. (2005). Motivation of parent involvement in secondary-level schooling. *The Journal of Educational Research*. 98 (3): 164-175.
- Downey, L.A., Mountstephen, J., Lloyd, J., Hansen, K., & Stough, C. (2008). Emotional intelligence and scholastic achievement in Australia adolescents. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 60(1): 10-17.
- Elham, D., Siti, N. Y., Rumaya, B. J., & Mansor, A.T. (2012). Relationship between parenting style and academic achievement among Iranian Adolescents in Sirjan. *Asian Social Science*. 8(1):156-160.



- Elias, H. & Tan N.Y. (2009). Relationship between perceived paternal and maternal parenting styles and student academic achievement in selected secondary schools. *Education Journal of social science*. 9: 181-192
- Epstein, D. J. (2008). The impact of parents' demographic and psychological characteristics and parent involvement on young children's reading and math outcomes. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences*. 69 (2-A): 497.
- Epstein, J. (2001) *School, family and community partnerships: preparing educators and improving schools*, Boulder, CO: Westview Press
- Epstein, J. L. (1987). Toward a theory of family-school connections: Teacher practices and parent involvement. In K. Hurrelman, F. X. Kaufman, & F. Losel (Eds.) *Social intervention: Potential and constraints*. 121-136. Berlin, Germany: de Gruyter.
- Epstein, J. L., & Sanders, M. G. (2002). Family, school, and community partnerships. In M. H. Bornstein (Ed.), *Handbook of parenting: Practical issues in parenting*. 5: 507-437. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Eren, O. & Henderson, D.J. (2008). The impact of homework on student achievement. *Econometrics Journal*. 11: 326-348.
- Fan, X. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A growth modelling analysis, *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 70 (1), 27-61.
- Fan, X., & Chen, M. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 13(1), 1-22.
- Farzana, B., Chaudry A. G., Awan E. A & Tariq, B. (2013). Contribution of parenting styles on life domain of children. *IOSR-JHSS*: 2279-0837.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D.F., (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research* 18 (1), 39-50.
- Fraenkel, J. R., & Wallen, N. E. (1996). *How to design and evaluate research in education*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Garcia, F., & Gracia, E. (2009). Is always authoritative the optimum parenting style? Evidence from Spanish families. *Adolescence*, 44 (173): 101-131.
- Gardner, N. A. (2004). Does Religious participation help keep adolescents in school? *America Youth Policy Forum*, Washington, D.C
- Gfroerer, K. P., Kem, R. M., & Curlette, W. L. (2004). Research support for Individual Psychology's parenting model. *Journal of Individual Psychology*, 60: 379-388.

- Ghaeli. (2007). Effect of sociocultural context and parenting style on scholastic achievement among Iranian adolescents. *Social Development*, 16(1):169-180.
- Goleman, D. (1998). *Working with emotional intelligence*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. New York: Bantam Books.
- Gonzalez-DeHass, A.R., Willems, P.P. & Doan Holbein, M.F. (2005). Examining the relationship between parental involvement and student motivation. *Educational Psychology Review*. 17(2): 99-123.
- Gorman, J.C. (1998). Parenting attitudes and practices of immigrant Chinese mothers of adolescents. *Family Relations*. 47(1): 73-80.
- Gottman, J.M., Katz, L. F., & Hooven, C. (1997). *Meta-emotion. How families communicate emotionally*. Mahwah, J: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Grolnick, W.S., & Slowiaczek, M.L. (1994). Parents' involvement in children's schooling: A multidimensional conceptualization and motivational model. *Child Development*. 65: 237-252.
- Habibah Elias & Noran Fauziah Yaakub. (1997). *Psikologi personaliti*. Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka. Kuala Lumpur.
- Hamid Masud, Ramayah, T., & Ahmad Muhammd Shakil. (2015). Parenting styles and academic achievement of young adolescents: A systematic literature review. [https:// www. Researchgate. Net/ publication/ 280628450](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280628450).
- Hair J. F., Hult G. T. M., Ringle C. M., Sarstedt M. (2014). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Hair, J., Black, B., Babin, B., Anderson, R., & Tatham, R. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis*. United State: Pearson Hall.
- Hair, J., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis (7th ed.)*. Upper saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education International.
- Hair, J., Black, W.C., Babin, B.J., Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis (6<sup>th</sup> Ed.)*, Pearson-Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet, *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2): 139-152
- Hayes, D. (2012). Parental involvement and achievement outcomes in African American adolescents. *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 43(4): 567-582

- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R.R. (2009). The use of Partial Least Squares Path Modeling in International Marketing. *Advances in International Marketing*. 20 : 277-320
- Hill, N.E. & Tyson, D.F (2009). Parental involvement in middle school: A meta-analytic assessment of strategies that promote achievement. *Developmental Psychology*. 45(3):740-763.
- Hill, N.E., & Taylor, L.C. (2004). Parental school involvement and children's academic achievement: Pragmatics and issues. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 13: 161-164.
- Hine, E.M., & Holcomb-McCoy, C. (2013). Parental characteristics, ecological factors, and the academic achievement of African American Males. *Journal of Counseling & Development*. 91: 68-77.
- Ho, D., & Kang, T. (1984). Intergenerational comparisons of child-rearing attitudes and practices and practices in Hong Kong. *Developmental Psychology*. 20(6): 1004-1016.
- Hogan, M.J., Parker, J. D. A., Wiener, J., Watters, C., Wood, L.M., & Oke, A. (2010). Academic success in adolescence: Relationships among verbal IQ, social support and emotional intelligence. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 62(1): 30-41.
- Holt, S. (2007). *Emotional intelligence and academic achievement in higher education* (Doctoral dissertation, Pepperdine University). Retrieved from <http://www.proquest.com/en-US>.
- Hoover-Dempsey, K.V., & Sandler, H.M. (1995). Parental involvement in children's education: Why does it make a difference? *Teachers College Record*. 97: 310-331.
- Houtenville, A.J., & Conway, K.S. (2008). Parental effort, school resources, and student achievement. *Journal of Human Resources*. 43(2):437-453.
- Humphrey, N., Curran, a., Morris, E., Farrell, P. & Woods, K. (2007). Emotional intelligence and education : An critical review. *Educational Psychology*, 27(2): 235-254.
- Ifeoma E.O, & Therese U.O (2014). Influence of Parenting Styles on In-School Adolescents Achievement Goal Orientation and Academic Achievement. *Psychology Research*. 4 (5): 364-375
- Isaac, S., & Michael, W.B. (1984). *Handbook in research and evaluation* (4<sup>th</sup> ed). San Diego, California: EdiTs Publishers.
- James M. I. (2008). *Parental involvement in their child's education*. Ph.d. Dissertation. Capella university, Minnesota, AAT 3297024.

- Jeynes, W. (2011). *Parental involvement and academic success*. Routledge Taylor & Francis Group. New York: London.
- Jeynes, W. (2012). A meta-analysis of the efficacy of different types of parental involvement programs for Urban students. *Urban Education*. 47(4): 706- 742.
- Jeynes, W. H. (2003). A meta-analysis: The effects of parental involvement on minority children's academic achievement. *Education and Urban Society*. 35(2): 202-218.
- Julie, T. N. (2010). The process of parental involvement and its relationship to students' academic achievement: a comparison between East and Southeast Asian American students. *Dissertation of Doctor of Philosophy in Education*. University of California.
- Kashahu, L., Dibra G. Osmanaga, F, & Bushati, J. (2014). The relationship between parental demographics, parenting styles and student academic achievement. *European Scientific Journal*. 10(13).
- Kang, Y & Moore, J. (2011). Parenting style and adolescents' school performance in Mainland China. *US-China Education Review B1* : 133-138.
- Kazmi, S.F, Sajjid, M. & Pervez, T. (2011). Parental style and academic achievement among the students. *International Journal of academic research*. 3(2): 582-588.
- Kerlinger, F.N. (1973). *Foundation of behavioral research* (2<sup>th</sup> ed). New York: Halt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Khajehpour, M. (2011). Relationship between emotional intelligence, parental involvement and academic performance of high school students. *Precedia Social and Behavioral Science*. 15: 1081-1086.
- Kim, D., & Rohner, R. P. (2002). Parental warmth, control, and involvement in schooling predicting academic achievement among Korean American adolescents. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*. 33: 127-140
- Kopko, K., (2007). *Parenting and adolescents*. Cornell Cooperative Extension. Cornell University.
- Kordi, A. & Baharudin, R. (2010). Parenting attitude and style and its effect on children's school achievements. *International Journal of Psychological studies*. 2(2): 217-222.
- Kusterer, K. D. (2009). Impact of parenting styles on academic achievement: parenting styles, parental involvement, personality factors and peer orientation. *PhD in Clinical Psychology Thesis*.
- Laporan Awal- Ringkasan Eksekutif. (2009). *Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia 2013-2025*.

- Leung, K., Lau, S., & Lam, W. L. (1998). Parenting styles and academic achievement cross-cultural study. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 44(2), 157-172.
- Low, S. F (2011). Pembinaan dan pengujian model pencapaian akademik pelajar Tingkatan Empat di Daerah Klang. *Tesis PhD. UM*.
- Maccoby, E. E., & Martin, J.A. (1983). Socialization in the context of the family: Parent- child interaction. In P.H. Mussen (Ed.). *Handbook of child psychology*. 4:1-101.
- Maria, C.A., Habibah, E., Rahil, M., & Jegak, U. (2004). Emotional intelligence and academic achievement among Malaysian secondary school students. *Pakistan Journal of Psychological Research*. 19 (3-4): 105-121.
- Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? Emotional development and emotional intelligence. *Educational Implications*. 3-31.
- Mayer, J. D., and Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional of emotional intelligence. *Intelligence*, 17 (4), 433-442.
- McMillan, J. H., & Schumacher, S. (2001). *Research in education: A conceptual introduction (5th ed.)*. New York: Longman.
- Membourquette, C.P. (2007). A study of relationship between the type of parent involvement and high school engagement, academic achievement attendance and attitude toward school. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A. Humanities and Social Sciences*. 68(3-A): 823.
- Miller, L. (2004). Educational psychology and difficult pupil behavior, qualitative, quantitative or mixed methods? Dlm.Z.Todd, B. Nerlich, S. McKeown & D.D.Clarke (Eds.) *Mixing methods in psychology the integration of qualitative and quantitative methods in theory and practice*, (m.s.187-205). New York: Psychology Press.
- Mohd, Majid Konting. (1994). *Kaedah Penyelidikan Pendidikan*. Cetakan ketiga. Kuala Lumpur. Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.
- Mohd Najib Bin Tun Haji Abdul Razak. (2013). *Prakata dalam Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan 2013-2025*.
- Najib Ahmad Marzuki, Che Su Mustaffa, Zurina Mat Saad, Suhanim Abdullah, Suhaini Muda & Wan Be Che Din (2006) (07-02-07-10027-EAR). Kecerdasan emosi dan kompetensi pelajar-pelajar institusi pengajian tinggi awam. *Kertas Penyelidikan, UUM*
- Nastasa, L-E & Sala, K. (2012). Adolescents' emotional intelligence and parental styles. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Science*. 33: 478-482.

- Nelson, P.D. (2009). Emotional intelligence and academic achievement in 11<sup>th</sup> Grade at-risk students. *PhD Dissertation*. ProQuest LLC.
- Nguon, S.C. (2012). Parental involvement and students' achievement in Cambodia : focusing on parental resourcing of public school. *International Journal of Educational Research*. 53 : 213-224.
- Nik Hairi, O., Azmi, A, M & Ahamd, S. A., (2012). Pengujian model gaya keibubapaan Baumrind ke atas pencapaian akademik pelajar sekolah menengah. *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*. 7(1): 105-120.
- Noriah Mohd Ishak, Ramlee Mustapha, Nor Rihah Mohd Nadzir. (2002). *Personality Profiles of College Students in Technical and Non-Technical Fields: Implications for Workforce Readiness*. International Journal of Vocational Educational and Training. 10 (2): 61-71
- Noriah Mohd Ishak, Siti Rahayah Ariffin, Zuria Mahmud, Saemah Rahman & Manisah Mohd Ali. (2001). *Performance and emotional intelligence: A Correlational study among Malaysian adolescence*. Paper presented in Learning- Conference 2001. Speteses, Greece, 10-12 Ogos.
- Noriah Mohd. Ishak, Shahrudin Ahmad, Kadderi Mohd. Desa, & Roslina Tan Abdullah. (2008). Kepintaran emosi sebagai faktor peramal pencapaian akademik pelajar IPTA: implikasi terhadap kebolehpasaran. *Jurnal e-Personalia Pelajar*
- Norila Binti Md Salleh. (2009). *Kesan pengintegrasian kecerdasan emosi ke atas pencapaian dan sikap murid terhadap Matematik*. Tesis Ijazah Doktor Falsafah. USM.
- Norliza Zakuan. (2014). *Bidang keberhasilan utama nasional (NKRA) Pendidikan*. Unit Komunikasi Korporat, KPM.  
[http://www.moe.gov.my/upload/galeri\\_awam/2010/1275279590.pdf](http://www.moe.gov.my/upload/galeri_awam/2010/1275279590.pdf).
- Norlizah, Che Hassan. (2008). *Perkaitan cara gaya keibubapaan dengan konsep sendiri dan tingkah laku delinkuen remaja*. Tesis PhD. Universiti Malaya.
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I.H. (1994). *Psychometric theory* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). New York. McGraw-Hill.
- Nurul Ain, H. & Azizi, Y., (2012). Peranan motivasi pembelajaran, gaya keibubapaan dan sikap dengan pencapaian akademik. *Journal of Educational Psychology & Counseling*. 5: 30-57.
- Nwadinigwe, I.P., & Azuka-Obieke, U. (2012). The impact of emotional intelligence on academic achievement of senior secondary school students in Logos, Nigeria. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS)*. 3(4): 395-401.

- Nyarko, K. (2011). The influence of authoritative parenting styles on adolescents' academic achievement. *American Journal of Social and Management Sciences*. 2(3): 278-282.
- Odongo, A.A , Aloka, P. J.O., & Raburu, P. (2016). Influence of Parenting Styles on the Adolescent Students' Academic Achievement in Kenyan Day Secondary Schools. *Journal of Education and Practice* [www.iiste.org](http://www.iiste.org). ISSN 2222-1735 (Paper) ISSN 2222-288X (Online). 1.7 (15).
- Ong, S.C., Zamri Mahamod, & Hamidah Yamat. (2013). Faktor jantina, kaum, aliran kelas dan hubungannya dengan kecerdasan emosi murid dalam mempelajari Bahasa Melayu. *Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Melayu*. 3(1): 12-23.
- Othman Bin Md. Johan & Normalina Binti Md Nazeri. (2010). *Profil Gaya Didikan Ibu Bapa Pelajar Cemerlang Berbanding Pelajar Lemah*. Johor Bharu. UTM.
- Paulson, S. E. (1994). Relations of Parenting Style and Parental Involvement with Ninth-Grade Students' Achievement. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 14 (2): 250-267.
- Paulson, S.E. (1992). Adolescents' and their parents' perceptions of parenting style: relations with achievement. *ERIC. ED 351139*.
- Paulson, S.E. (1994a). Relations of parenting style and parental involvement with ninth-grade students' achievement. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 14: 250-276.
- Paulson, S.E. (1994b). *Construction and validation of parenting style and parental involvement scales*. Ball State University. *Psychological Bulletin*, 11:487-496.
- Pomerantz, E.M., Moorman, E.A. & Litwack, S.D. (2007). The how, whom and why parents' involvement in children's academic lives: more is not always better. *Review of Educational Research*. 77(3): 373-410.
- Portal Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia. (2012). Sekolah-sekolah di Malaysia. <http://www.moe.gov.my/my/sekolah-berprestasi-tinggi>.
- Qualter, P., Gardner, K. J., Pope, D.J., Hutchinson. J.M., & Whiteley, H.E.(2012). Ability emotional intelligence, trait emotional intelligence and academic success in British secondary schools: A 5 year longitudinal study. *Learning & Individual Differences*. 22(1): 83-91.
- Radhika, M. & Joseph, R. (2013). A study to assess the parenting styles and academic achievement of school children. *An international Journal of Science and Research*. 4(4):644-647.
- Rivers, J., Mullis, A.K., Fortner, L.A., Mullis, R.L. (2012). Relationship between parenting styles and the academic performance of adolescents. *Journal of Family Social Work*. 15(3): 202-216.

- Rogoff, B. (1990). *Apprenticeship in thinking: cognitive development in social context*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Saemah Rahman, Noriah Mohd. Ishak, Zuria Mahmud & Ruslin Amir. (2008). Indeks dan profil kecerdasan emosi pelajar sekolah menengah. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 48(E):187-202. *Universiti Teknologi Malaysia*.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research method for business: A skill building approach*, 4th edition, John Wiley & Sons.
- Salovey, P. & Mayer, J. (1990). *Emotional intelligence and the ability to succeed*. New York: Bantam.
- Sanders, M. G. (1998). The effects of school, family, and community support on the academic achievement of African American adolescents. *Urban Education*. 33: 385-409.
- Sarana Ibu Bapa: *Inisiatif ibu bapa, komuniti dan sector swasta: suatu inisiatif utama Pelan Pembangunan Pendidikan Malaysia 2013-2025*.
- Sayed Abdul Rahman. (1994). Pengasuhan keibubapaan yang positif. *Journal kebajikan Masyarakat*. 17(1):39-46.
- Seginer, R. (2006). Parents' educational involvement: A developmental ecological perspective. *Parenting: Science and Practice*. 6: 1-48.
- Shahrin Hashim, Nurulhuda Azizi dan Nurul Ezzati Azizi. (2008). *Masalah sosial di kalangan pelajar*. UTM Press.
- Shahrul, A. O., Norzaini, A. & Manisah, M. A. (2008). Faktor ibu bapa dalam kecemerlangan akademik pelajar pekak: kajian kes retrospektif. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction*. 5: 79-98.
- Sheau, G, Tsuey Chon and Juliana Rosmidah Jaafar, and Samsudin A. Rahim, and Nurhana Zainal, & Subhi, N and Nor Ba' Yah Abdur Kadir. (2012). *Motivasi diri dan dorongan ibu bapa terhadap minat akademik belia*. *e-BANGI: Jurnal Sains Sosial dan Kemanusiaan*. 7 (1 (special)):26-33. ISSN 1823-884x
- Shute, V. J, Hansen, E.G., Underwood, J.S. & Razzouk.R. (2011). In review article on the relationship between parental involvement and academic achievement with special focus on the secondary students' academic achievement. *Education Research International*, ID.
- Sim T. N. & Jeffery Chin. (2014). Do Mothers' and Fathers' authoritative and authoritarian parenting interact? An exploration on schooling aspects with a Singapore adolescent. *Youth & Society*. 46(2): 286-300. DOI: 10.1177/0044118X12441188.
- Smith, M. and Glass, G. (1987). *Research and Evaluation in the Social Sciences*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.



- Spera, C. (2005). A review of the relationship among parenting practices, parenting styles, and adolescent school achievement. *Educational Psychology Review*, 17(2).
- Stewart, S.M., Bond, M.H., Kennard, B.D., Ho, L.M. & Zaman, R.M, (2002). Does the Chinese construct of *guan* export to the West? *International Journal of Psychology*. 37(2): 74-82.
- Stright A. D & Yeo, K. L (2014). Maternal Parenting Styles, School Involvement, and Children's School Achievement and Conduct in Singapore. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 106(1): 301–314
- Surat Pekeliling Ikhtisas Bil. 5/2001: *Batasan kuasa Persatuan Ibu Bapa dan Guru (PIBG) di sekolah*. Bahagian Sekolah, Kementerian Pelajaran Malaysia.
- Suresh Kumar Vellymalay. (2011). Sikap dan tingkah laku remaja terhadap pembelajaran di sekolah: satu kajian kes di Rumah kanak-kanak. *Jurnal Pendidikan Malaysia*, 36(2):25-32.
- Seyed Mohammad Assadim Nayereh Zokaei, Hossein Kaviani, Mohammad Reza Mohammadi, Padideh Ghaeli. (2007). Effect of sociocultural context and parenting style on scholastic achievement among Iranian adolescents. *Social Development* 16(1):169-180.
- Tabachnick, B.G., & Fidell, L.S. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics*. Fifth Edition. Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Tan, J.P., Buchanan, A. & Zahyah, H. (2006). Socialization and parenting in Chinese cultural context. *Jurnal Pembangunan Sosial*. 9(Jun): 165-180.
- Tuckman, B. W. (1999). *Conducting educational research (5th ed.)*. Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace.
- Wang, H (2014). The relationship between parenting styles and academic and behavioral adjustment among urban Chinese adolescents. *Chinese Sociological Review*. 46(4): 19–40. DOI: 10.2753/CSA2162-0555460402
- Willingham, W.W, Pollack, J. M. & Lewis, C. (2002). Grades and test scores: Accounting for observed differences. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. 39: 1-37.
- Yasmin, S., Kaini, A., & Chaudhry, A.G. (2014). Parenting styles as a predictors of academic achievement of students. *International Journal of Technical Research and Applications*. 2(6): 28-31.
- Zahyah Hanafi. (2003). Understanding parent-adolescent relationships among at-risk students. *Jurnal Psikologi Malaysia*. 17: 23-33.

- Zahyah, H. (2004). Identifying parenting practices: an initial step in setting up parenting program in school. *Malaysian Management Journal*. 8(2):45-58.
- Zahyah, H. (2004). Mothers' involvement: children's perception of maternal childrearing practices. *Malaysian Management Journal*. 8(1): 1-9.
- Zahyah, H. (2008). The relationship between aspects of socio-economic factors and academic achievement. *Jurnal Pendidikan*. 33: 95-105.
- Zahyah, H., Noran, F. Y. & Rosna, A. H. (2002). Managing Children : an insight into Malay parents' involvement in their children's learning. *Malaysian Management Journal*. 6 (1&2): 63-80.
- Zaitol Binti Salleh. (2011). *Gaya keibubapaan ibu bapa dan strategi daya tindak remaja dalam keluarga miskin*. Tesis PhD. UM.
- Zakiyah Jamaluddin. (2010). *Hubungan reruang terhadap permasalahan sosial remaja: Kajian kes di Flat Sri Sentosa, Kuala Lumpur*. Tesis PhD. UM.
- Zulkifli Abd. Hamid, Jamilah Othman, Aminah Ahmad, & Ismi Arif Ismail. (2011). Hubungan antara penglibatan ibu bapa dan pencapaian akademik pelajar miskin di Negeri Selangor. *Journal of Islamic and Arabic Education*. 3(2): 31-40.



UUM  
Universiti Utara Malaysia

## BORANG SOAL SELIDIK

Kod pelajar:.....

SEKOLAH: .....

Arahan: Sila baca pernyataan di bawah dengan teliti. Bagi setiap pernyataan di bawah, bulatkan jawapan yang paling menepati diri anda. Pastikan anda menjawab semua soalan.

### BAHAGIAN A : PROFIL RESPONDEN

Sila bulatkan pada nombor yang berkaitan

1. Jantina:            1 = Lelaki      2 = Perempuan
2. Bangsa:            1 = Melayu  
                             2 = Cina  
                             3 = Iban  
                             4 = Bisaya  
                             5 = Lubawang  
                             6 = Lain-lain (Nyatakan) \_\_\_\_\_
3. Peringkat pendidikan yang paling tinggi bagi bapa anda  
0 = Tidak tahu  
1 = Tidak bersekolah  
2 = Sekolah Rendah  
3 = SRP/PMR/LCE  
4 = SPM/MCE  
5 = Sijil/Diploma  
6 = Ijazah Muda/Sarjana/PhD
4. Pekerjaan bapa:  
  
1 = Tidak bekerja  
2 = Bekerja (Nyatakan jenis pekerjaan) \_\_\_\_\_
5. Tahap pendidikan yang paling tinggi bagi ibu anda  
0 = Tidak tahu  
1 = Tidak bersekolah  
2 = Sekolah Rendah  
3 = SRP/PMR/LCE  
4 = SPM/MCE  
5 = Sijil/Diploma  
6 = Ijazah Muda/Sarjana/PhD
6. Pekerjaan ibu:  
1 = Tidak bekerja  
2 = Bekerja (Nyatakan jenis pekerjaan) \_\_\_\_\_

7. Nyatakan keputusan yang anda perolehi dalam peperiksaan PMR yang lepas:

Mata Pelajaran	Gred	
Bahasa Melayu		
Sains		
Matematik		
Bahasa Inggeris		

### BAHAGIAN B : Gaya Keibubapaan.

Arahan : Sila bulatkan pada setiap pilihan anda berpandukan kepada skala yang diberikan.

Berikut adalah gaya keibubapaan ibubapa anda. Pada PENDAPAT ANDA (sebagai anak) sejauhmanakah ibubapa anda mempunyai gaya keibubapaan ini. Bulatkan nombor yang menerangkan ibubapa anda dengan tepat sekali.

**Sangat tidak benar    Tidak benar    Kurang pasti    Benar    Sangat benar**  
**1                                  2                                  3                                  4                                  5**

No	Gaya Keibubapaan	STB 1	TB 2	KB 3	B 4	SB 5
1	Ibubapa saya tidak kisah sekiranya saya tidak mematuhi sesetengah peraturan yang ditetapkan oleh mereka.	1	2	3	4	5
2	Ibubapa saya menggalakkan saya bercakap dengan mereka mengenai apa-apa perkara.	1	2	3	4	5
3	Biasanya ibubapa saya tidak menghukum saya apabila saya membuat sesuatu yang salah.	1	2	3	4	5
4	Ibubapa saya bersetuju bahawa saya berhak menyuarakan pandangan saya.	1	2	3	4	5
5	Ibubapa saya memberi banyak kebebasan kepada saya.	1	2	3	4	5
6	Ibubapa saya berminat dengan aktiviti-aktiviti yang saya terlibat.	1	2	3	4	5
7	Ibubapa saya memberikan kebebasan kepada saya untuk berbuat apa sahaja tanpa mempersoalkan tindakan saya.	1	2	3	4	5
8	Ibubapa saya menggalakkan saya berterus-terang dengan mereka.	1	2	3	4	5
9	Ibubapa saya membuat hanya sedikit peraturan untuk saya patuhi.	1	2	3	4	5
10	Ibubapa saya selalu memberi sebab kepada peraturan yang mereka tetapkan.	1	2	3	4	5

### Bahagian C : Penglibatan ibubapa

**Sangat Tidak Setuju    Tidak Setuju    Tidak Pasti    Setuju    Sangat Setuju**

**1                      2                      3                      4                      5**

No	Penglibatan Ibubapa	1 STS	2 TS	3 TP	4 S	5 SS
1	Ibubapa saya tidak mementingkan pelajaran saya.	1	2	3	4	5
2	Ibubapa saya mengingatkan saya untuk tekun belajar.	1	2	3	4	5
3	Ibubapa saya berpendapat saya patut pergi ke universiti.	1	2	3	4	5
4	Ibubapa saya memberikan nasihat dan kesedaran untuk belajar bersungguh-sungguh untuk masa depan saya.	1	2	3	4	5
5	Ibubapa saya tidak memberi galakan kepada saya supaya mengulang kaji pelajaran.	1	2	3	4	5
6	Ibubapa saya menggalakkan saya membuat banyak latihan bagi setiap mata pelajaran.	1	2	3	4	5
7	Ibubapa saya berpendapat penting untuk saya maju dalam kehidupan saya.	1	2	3	4	5
8	Ibubapa saya menasihatkan saya supaya berusaha mendapatkan markah terbaik dalam ujian atau peperiksaan.	1	2	3	4	5
9	Ibubapa saya berpendapat kerja sekolah merupakan perkara utama persekolahan.	1	2	3	4	5
10	Ibu bapa saya tidak peduli kerja sekolah saya.	1	2	3	4	5
11	Ibubapa saya biasanya membantu saya apabila saya meminta bantuan untuk membuat kerja sekolah saya.	1	2	3	4	5
12	Ibubapa saya tidak menetapkan peraturan untuk saya membuat kerja sekolah.	1	2	3	4	5
13	Ibubapa saya mencadangkan saya mengadakan jadual waktu harian.	1	2	3	4	5

## BAHAGIAN D : Kecerdasan Emosi

Sangat Tidak Setuju 1      Tidak Setuju 2      Neutral 3      Setuju 4      Sangat Setuju 5

No	Kecerdasan Emosi	STS 1	TS 2	N 3	S 4	SS 5
1	Kesilapan yang saya lakukan tidak memberi kesan yang berpanjangan terhadap perasaan saya.	1	2	3	4	5
2	Saya boleh rasai perubahan fikiran saya apabila dirangsang oleh sesuatu perkara/peristiwa	1	2	3	4	5
3	Saya mampu membuat rakan-rakan saya gembira	1	2	3	4	5
4	Saya boleh melaksanakan apa yang telah saya rancang	1	2	3	4	5
5	Saya mampu membina tingkahlaku baru yang lebih berkesan	1	2	3	4	5
6	Saya yakin bahawa pandangan saya boleh diterima oleh orang lain	1	2	3	4	5
7	Saya mampu bertindak dengan tenang walaupun saya sedang marah	1	2	3	4	5
8	Saya boleh bertenang walaupun orang lain memarahi saya	1	2	3	4	5
9	Saya mampu mengawal perasaan walaupun orang lain marah kepada saya	1	2	3	4	5
10	Saya sentiasa tenang walau pun dalam keadaan tertekan	1	2	3	4	5
11	Saya boleh tenang diri dengan cepat apabila dalam keadaan marah	1	2	3	4	5
12	saya tidak kecil hati jika kerja saya dikritik.	1	2	3	4	5
13	Saya sentiasa bersemangat ketika belajar	1	2	3	4	5
14	Saya sentiasa bekerja keras untuk mendapat pencapaian akademik yang cemerlang	1	2	3	4	5
15	Saya sentiasa memastikan prestasi akademik saya cemerlang	1	2	3	4	5
16	Saya sentiasa mencari peluang untuk mencapai matlamat peribadi	1	2	3	4	5
17	Saya suka belajar subjek yang mengikuti mata pelajaran-mata pelajaran (subjek) yang boleh meningkatkan kemahiran saya	1	2	3	4	5
18	Saya sentiasa melihat sesuatu tugasan sebagai cara untuk memperbaiki diri	1	2	3	4	5

No	Kecerdasan Emosi	STS 1	TS 2	N 3	S 4	SS 5
19	Saya tidak jemu bila menyelesaikan sesuatu tugas yang saya suka	1	2	3	4	5
20	Saya tahu apabila seseorang cuba menyembunyikan perasaannya	1	2	3	4	5
21	Saya boleh memahami situasi sukar yang dialami oleh orang lain	1	2	3	4	5
22	Orang lain selalu menceritakan masalah mereka kepada saya	1	2	3	4	5
23	Saya boleh bekerjasama dengan sesiapa sahaja	1	2	3	4	5
24	Saya tidak mempunyai sebarang masalah apabila diminta membuat sesuatu tugas dengan pelajar lain	1	2	3	4	5
25	Saya sentiasa berbincang dengan rakan apabila merancang sesuatu tugas baru	1	2	3	4	5
26	Saya sentiasa berkongsi maklumat dengan rakan ketika melaksanakan tugas bersama-sama	1	2	3	4	5
27	Saya sedia mendengar pandangan orang lain	1	2	3	4	5
28	Saya mampu memberikan pandangan yang jelas	1	2	3	4	5
29	Saya suka apabila orang lain memberi maklum balas terhadap pandangan saya	1	2	3	4	5
30	Tidak semua pandangan saya betul	1	2	3	4	5
31	Saya boleh memulakan perbualan dengan sesiapa sahaja dalam sesuatu majlis	1	2	3	4	5

LANGKAH-LANGKAH PENGKATEGORIAN GAYA KEIBUBAPAAN DARI RESPONSIF DAN MENDESAK KEPADA OTORITATIF, OTORITARIAN, PEMISIF DAN ABAI

Statistics			
		responsif	mendesak
N	Valid	419	419
	Missing	0	0
Mean		3.8186	3.6247
Minimum		2.00	1.60
Maximum		5.00	5.00

1. Dapatkan min untuk responsif dan mendesak
2. Cari tahap tinggi dan rendah
3. Responsive tinggi = 2
4. Responsive rendah = 1

Responsive 1

$$(5-2)/2 = 3/2 = 1.5$$

Maka responsive rendah ialah  $2 + 1.5 = 3.5$

Jadi dalam lingkungan 2.00-3.50

Responsive tinggi 2 = antara 3.51-5.00

5. Mendesak = tinggi ialah 4  
Mendesak rendah ialah 3

Min = 1.60

Max=5.00

$$(5.00-1.60)/2 = 3.40/2 = 1.70$$

Jadi mendesak rendah 3 =  $1.60 + 1.70 = 3.30$

Lingkungan 1.60-3.30

Mendesak tinggi 4 = 3.31 – 5.00

Responsif TR = 1, 2

Mendesak TR= 3, 4

6. Transform recode – different value
7. Numeric variable – output variable – RT responsive – change  
old + new variables- range 2.00. through 3.50 .... New values = 1 (rendah responsive)  
add range 3.51 through 5.00 new value = 2 (tinggi responsive)
8. Pengkategorian
  - a. Transform- compute
  - b. Target variable – otoritatif
  - c. Numeric expression =(mendesak \* responsive) -- darab
  - d. If- include if case satisfies condition



- e. RTmendesak = 4 & RTresponsif = 2
- f. Continue --- ok
- g. Otoritarian = RTmendesak = 4 & RTresponsif = 1
- h. Pemisif = RTmendesak = 3 & RTresponsif = 2
- i. Abai = RTmendesak = 3 & RTresponsif = 1
- j.
- k. IF (RTmendesak = 4 & RTresponsif = 2) otoritatif=(mendesak \* responsif).
- l. EXECUTE.
- m. IF (RTmendesak = 4 & RTresponsif = 1) otoritarian=(mendesak \* responsif).
- n. EXECUTE.
- o. IF (RTmendesak = 3 & RTresponsif = 2) pemisif=(mendesak \* responsif).
- p. EXECUTE.
- q. IF (RTmendesak = 3 & RTresponsif = 1) abai=(mendesak \* responsif).
- r. EXECUTE.
- s.

#### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.956	189

#### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
g1	671.3321	5134.282	-.316	.957
g2	669.6654	5068.863	.230	.956
g3	670.9321	5119.589	-.123	.957
g4	669.5321	5078.105	.154	.956
g5	669.8321	5041.265	.405	.956
g6	669.9988	5056.651	.323	.956
g7	670.5321	5120.890	-.124	.957
g8	669.6654	5056.690	.297	.956
g9	670.1654	5110.291	-.080	.957
g10	669.9654	5069.328	.198	.956
p1	671.4321	5133.378	-.263	.957
p2	668.9321	5095.677	.057	.956
p3	669.0321	5107.781	-.049	.957
p4	668.8654	5076.520	.215	.956
p5	671.0988	5116.071	-.091	.957
p6	669.6988	5098.962	.009	.957
p7	669.1988	5075.779	.194	.956
p8	669.4321	5099.296	.009	.957
p9	669.9321	5099.494	.010	.957
p10	670.3321	5166.067	-.402	.957

p11	670.1654	5067.377	.212	.956
p12	670.4321	5113.637	-.075	.957
p13	670.4988	5096.662	.018	.957
kE1	669.8988	5063.937	.244	.956
KE2	670.0988	5098.527	.011	.957
PK1	669.6988	5113.782	-.096	.957
PK2	669.6988	5041.647	.413	.956
PK3	669.1988	5095.834	.041	.956
PK4	669.0654	5042.022	.461	.956
PK5	669.3988	5043.299	.458	.956
KD1	669.1654	5035.184	.521	.956
KD2	669.6988	5100.337	.010	.956
KD3	669.9321	5043.262	.492	.956
KD4	669.6321	5054.294	.383	.956
KD5	670.1321	5068.925	.288	.956
KD6	670.2321	5060.829	.240	.956
KF1	669.3988	5017.439	.612	.956
KF2	669.3654	5029.716	.575	.956
KF3	669.2988	5050.200	.345	.956
KF4	669.3654	5019.287	.545	.956
KF5	669.9988	5058.959	.319	.956
KF6	669.6321	5036.744	.448	.956
KF7	669.8321	5020.120	.550	.956
KWD1	670.2321	5070.952	.161	.956
KWD2	670.1988	5047.276	.336	.956
KWD3	669.9988	5006.707	.558	.956
KWD4	669.9988	5026.257	.385	.956
KWD5	670.0321	5023.636	.552	.956
KWD6	670.1321	5020.975	.520	.956
KWD7	669.9654	5032.566	.477	.956
KWD8	669.6988	5031.929	.465	.956
KBP1	669.7654	5014.575	.627	.956
KBP2	669.9988	5002.598	.722	.956
KBP3	669.6321	5028.868	.487	.956
KBP4	669.4654	5019.688	.584	.956
KBP5	669.3321	5011.866	.645	.956
BTGW1	669.2988	5020.198	.644	.956
BTGW2	669.3988	4993.234	.763	.955
BTGW3	669.6321	5028.666	.524	.956
BTGW4	669.7321	5022.118	.570	.956
BTGW5	669.7988	5009.035	.646	.956
BTGW6	669.5988	5062.862	.275	.956
KBS1	669.4988	5031.544	.513	.956
KBS2	669.7321	5051.692	.512	.956
KBS3	669.5654	4999.353	.744	.956
KBS4	669.4654	5006.776	.805	.956
KBS5	669.5321	5015.083	.657	.956
KBS6	669.3988	5063.735	.295	.956
INOV1	669.2654	5086.555	.130	.956
INOV2	668.9988	5056.428	.441	.956
INVO3	669.2988	5025.705	.600	.956

INVO4	669.7988	5025.047	.599	.956
INVO5	669.4321	5043.749	.470	.956
INVO6	669.2654	5046.688	.440	.956
DP1	669.7321	5028.975	.620	.956
DP2	669.2654	5037.573	.606	.956
DP3	669.8988	5020.448	.620	.956
DP4	669.6988	5073.202	.239	.956
DP5	669.3988	5065.768	.308	.956
KOM1	669.6321	5064.824	.271	.956
KOM2	669.5654	5048.060	.489	.956
KOM3	669.3654	5015.276	.692	.956
KOM4	669.6654	4983.198	.889	.955
KOM5	669.5321	4982.086	.846	.955
KOM6	669.5988	5037.664	.581	.956
INIS1	669.4988	5059.756	.330	.956
INIS2	669.2654	5053.258	.456	.956
INIS3	669.1988	5053.057	.429	.956
INIS4	669.6988	5020.731	.603	.956
OPT1	669.3321	5096.293	.041	.956
OPT2	669.2654	5058.206	.331	.956
MOL1	669.5988	5018.060	.582	.956
MOL2	669.6988	5026.321	.520	.956
MOL3	669.3654	5039.891	.435	.956
MOL4	669.5321	5041.097	.527	.956
MOL5	669.3321	5061.207	.342	.956
MOL6	669.6988	5041.446	.400	.956
POT1	669.1654	5020.711	.667	.956
POT2	669.3321	4999.053	.713	.956
POT3	669.3654	5000.279	.749	.956
POT4	669.4988	5039.913	.469	.956
POT5	669.4988	5019.860	.625	.956
BP1	669.4988	5013.839	.702	.956
BP2	669.2321	5019.329	.647	.956
BP3	669.5321	5000.740	.738	.956
BP4	669.1321	5050.320	.431	.956
BP5	669.4988	5029.275	.638	.956
LEV1	669.6321	5025.992	.543	.956
LEV2	669.1988	5015.853	.690	.956
LEV3	669.4988	5022.933	.577	.956
LEV4	669.5654	5028.091	.577	.956
LEV5	669.2988	5007.754	.685	.956
POL1	669.4988	5037.207	.453	.956
POL2	669.6988	5030.301	.510	.956
POL3	669.3654	5025.739	.607	.956
POL4	669.2654	5081.726	.215	.956
POL5	669.5988	5021.927	.653	.956
BOL1	669.2654	5009.542	.658	.956
BOL2	669.7321	5011.493	.674	.956
BOL3	669.3654	5053.080	.369	.956
BOL4	669.5321	5034.277	.455	.956
BOL5	669.2988	5069.130	.280	.956

KSYG1	669.5654	4998.300	.752	.956
KSYG2	669.7321	5012.042	.669	.956
KSYG3	669.4654	5001.480	.775	.956
KSYG4	669.6988	5029.975	.611	.956
KSYG5	669.2654	5038.426	.533	.956
PENG1	669.6321	5057.347	.424	.956
PENG2	669.8654	5024.052	.614	.956
PENG3	669.6988	5033.819	.504	.956
PENG4	669.8321	5059.088	.331	.956
PENG5	670.1988	5073.428	.195	.956
KONF1	669.8988	5053.014	.353	.956
KONF2	669.9321	5036.012	.504	.956
KONF3	669.8654	5059.174	.416	.956
KONF4	669.8988	5055.111	.462	.956
KPPN1	669.6321	5023.395	.671	.956
KPPN2	669.9321	5029.680	.494	.956
KPPN3	669.6321	5001.856	.695	.956
KPPN4	669.6321	5001.997	.779	.956
UBAH1	669.2988	5024.050	.643	.956
UBAH2	669.5654	5051.478	.432	.956
UBAH3	669.4988	4997.652	.833	.955
UBAH4	669.6321	5080.873	.184	.956
HBGN1	669.3988	5019.912	.618	.956
HBGN2	669.4988	5040.382	.349	.956
HBGN3	669.2654	5038.565	.506	.956
HBGN4	669.1988	5011.331	.696	.956
HBGN5	669.2988	5024.756	.608	.956
KJSM1	669.4321	5064.439	.299	.956
KJSM2	669.6321	5091.619	.086	.956
KJSM3	669.4654	5061.304	.379	.956
KJSM4	669.4321	5007.883	.676	.956
KJSM5	669.0988	5017.574	.665	.956
KUMP1	669.4321	5001.811	.720	.956
KUMP2	669.3988	5021.495	.561	.956
KUMP3	669.4654	5019.281	.610	.956
KOMU1	669.2654	5024.592	.652	.956
KOMU2	669.5654	5081.397	.209	.956
KOMU3	669.3988	5036.471	.512	.956
KOMU4	669.5321	5078.579	.187	.956
KOMU5	669.2321	5073.567	.227	.956
KOMU6	669.6988	5026.659	.434	.956
gg1	669.0654	5070.283	.297	.956
gg3	669.4654	5086.244	.093	.956
gg5	670.5654	5164.369	-.429	.957
gg7	669.8654	5085.275	.092	.956
gg9	670.2321	5094.515	.057	.956
pp1	668.9654	5071.594	.241	.956
pp5	669.2988	5090.372	.057	.957
pp10	670.0654	5040.015	.375	.956
pp12	669.9654	5092.990	.040	.957
responsif	669.7654	5065.416	.344	.956

mendesak	669.8388	5099.435	.029	.956
komunikasi	669.1779	5088.876	.152	.956
minatkerjarumah	670.1254	5078.308	.279	.956
kemosi	669.6371	5053.998	.774	.956
regulasik	669.6504	5029.255	.889	.956
motivassi	669.5066	5040.624	.823	.956
empati	669.4589	5026.649	.884	.956
ksosial	669.5654	5038.437	.892	.956
libatibubapa	666.1046	5065.570	.277	.956
kecerdasanemo	669.5637	5037.722	.988	.956
LHResponsive	671.3988	5089.672	.205	.956
LHMendesak	669.6654	5107.049	-.077	.956
Otoritarian	672.1054	5206.701	-.268	.959
Otoritatif	667.7401	5016.870	.038	.965
Pemisif	668.8508	5027.394	.056	.962
Abai	672.6588	5106.029	-.029	.957

GET

FILE='D:\New folder\target PhD 2014\MODEL29OGOS\DATA 29OGOS  
FINAL.sav'.

DATASET NAME DataSet1 WINDOW=FRONT.

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=g1\_1 g2\_1 g3\_1 g4\_1 g5\_1 g6\_1 g7\_1 g8\_1 g9\_1  
g10\_1 p1\_1 p2\_1 p3\_1 p4\_1 p5\_1 p6\_1 p7\_1 p8\_1 p9\_1 p10\_1 p11\_1 p12\_1  
p13\_1 EQ1\_1 EQ2\_1 EQ3\_1 EQ4\_1 EQ5\_1 EQ6\_1 EQ7\_1 EQ8\_1 EQ9\_1 EQ10\_1  
EQ11\_1 EQ12\_1 EQ13\_1 EQ14\_1 EQ15\_1 EQ16\_1 EQ17\_1 EQ18\_1 EQ19\_1  
EQ20\_1 EQ21\_1 EQ22\_1 EQ23\_1 EQ24\_1 EQ25\_1 EQ26\_1 EQ27\_1 EQ28\_1  
EQ29\_1 EQ30\_1 EQ31\_1 gg1\_1 gg3\_1 gg5\_1 gg7\_1 gg9\_1 pp1\_1 pp5\_1  
pp10\_1 pp12\_1 EQEQ1\_1

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

Universiti Utara Malaysia

## Frequencies

		Notes
Output Created		25-SEP-2015 15:44:41
Comments		
Input	Data	D:\New folder\target PhD 2014\MODEL29OGOS\DATA 29OGOS FINAL.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
	N of Rows in Working Data File	406
	Definition of Missing Value Handling	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
Missing Value Handling	Missing Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases with valid data.
	Syntax	FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=g1_1 g2_1 g3_1 g4_1 g5_1 g6_1 g7_1 g8_1 g9_1 g10_1 p1_1 p2_1 p3_1 p4_1 p5_1 p6_1 p7_1 p8_1 p9_1 p10_1 p11_1 p12_1 p13_1 EQ1_1 EQ2_1 EQ3_1 EQ4_1 EQ5_1 EQ6_1 EQ7_1 EQ8_1 EQ9_1 EQ10_1 EQ11_1 EQ12_1 EQ13_1 EQ14_1 EQ15_1 EQ16_1 EQ17_1 EQ18_1 EQ19_1 EQ20_1 EQ21_1 EQ22_1 EQ23_1 EQ24_1 EQ25_1 EQ26_1 EQ27_1 EQ28_1 EQ29_1 EQ30_1 EQ31_1 gg1_1 gg3_1 gg5_1 gg7_1 gg9_1 pp1_1 pp5_1 pp10_1 pp12_1 EQQ1_1 /ORDER=ANALYSIS.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.08
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.06

[DataSet1] D:\New folder\target PhD 2014\MODEL29OGOS\DATA 29OGOS  
FINAL.sav

## Frequency Table

SMEAN(g1)				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	177	43.6	43.6	43.6
2.00	126	31.0	31.0	74.6
3.00	70	17.2	17.2	91.9
4.00	27	6.7	6.7	98.5
5.00	6	1.5	1.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

SMEAN(g2)				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	13	3.2	3.2	3.2
2.00	29	7.1	7.1	10.3
3.00	96	23.6	23.6	34.0
4.00	176	43.3	43.3	77.3
5.00	92	22.7	22.7	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

SMEAN(g3)				
	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	93	22.9	22.9	22.9
2.00	149	36.7	36.7	59.6
3.00	99	24.4	24.4	84.0
4.00	51	12.6	12.6	96.6
5.00	14	3.4	3.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g4)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	9	2.2	2.2	2.2
2.00	17	4.2	4.2	6.4
3.00	143	35.2	35.2	41.6
4.00	174	42.9	42.9	84.5
5.00	63	15.5	15.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	53	13.1	13.1	13.1
2.00	152	37.4	37.4	50.5
3.00	110	27.1	27.1	77.6
4.00	77	19.0	19.0	96.6
5.00	14	3.4	3.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g6)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	23	5.7	5.7	6.9
3.00	148	36.5	36.5	43.3
4.00	157	38.7	38.7	82.0
5.00	73	18.0	18.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g7)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	151	37.2	37.2	37.2
2.00	155	38.2	38.2	75.4
3.00	83	20.4	20.4	95.8
4.00	17	4.2	4.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	



**SMEAN(g8)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	10	2.5	2.5	2.5
2.00	10	2.5	2.5	4.9
3.00	60	14.8	14.8	19.7
4.00	161	39.7	39.7	59.4
5.00	165	40.6	40.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g9)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	42	10.3	10.3	10.3
2.00	97	23.9	23.9	34.2
3.00	122	30.0	30.0	64.3
4.00	118	29.1	29.1	93.3
5.00	27	6.7	6.7	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g10)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	21	5.2	5.2	6.4
3.00	93	22.9	22.9	29.3
4.00	181	44.6	44.6	73.9
5.00	106	26.1	26.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	304	74.9	74.9	74.9
2.00	69	17.0	17.0	91.9
3.00	19	4.7	4.7	96.6
4.00	5	1.2	1.2	97.8
5.00	9	2.2	2.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p2)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2.00	2	.5	.5	.5
3.00	10	2.5	2.5	3.0
Valid 4.00	79	19.5	19.5	22.4
5.00	315	77.6	77.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p3)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
3.00	56	13.8	13.8	14.8
Valid 4.00	101	24.9	24.9	39.7
5.00	245	60.3	60.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p4)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
3.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
Valid 4.00	71	17.5	17.5	19.0
5.00	329	81.0	81.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	244	60.1	60.1	60.1
2.00	94	23.2	23.2	83.3
3.00	46	11.3	11.3	94.6
Valid 4.00	15	3.7	3.7	98.3
5.00	6	1.5	1.5	99.8
99.00	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p6)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	8	2.0	2.0	2.0
2.00	16	3.9	3.9	5.9
3.00	48	11.8	11.8	17.7
4.00	166	40.9	40.9	58.6
5.00	168	41.4	41.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p7)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2.00	1	.2	.2	.2
3.00	27	6.7	6.7	6.9
4.00	114	28.1	28.1	35.0
5.00	264	65.0	65.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p8)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	1	.2	.2	.2
2.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.5
3.00	14	3.4	3.4	4.9
4.00	117	28.8	28.8	33.7
5.00	269	66.3	66.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p9)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	7	1.7	1.7	2.7
3.00	84	20.7	20.7	23.4
4.00	196	48.3	48.3	71.7
5.00	115	28.3	28.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p10)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	214	52.7	52.7	52.7
2.00	116	28.6	28.6	81.3
3.00	58	14.3	14.3	95.6
4.00	16	3.9	3.9	99.5
5.00	2	.5	.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p11)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	27	6.7	6.7	6.7
2.00	42	10.3	10.3	17.0
3.00	140	34.5	34.5	51.5
4.00	152	37.4	37.4	88.9
5.00	45	11.1	11.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p12)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	64	15.8	15.8	15.8
2.00	96	23.6	23.6	39.4
3.00	156	38.4	38.4	77.8
4.00	72	17.7	17.7	95.6
5.00	18	4.4	4.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p13)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	11	2.7	2.7	2.7
2.00	36	8.9	8.9	11.6
3.00	106	26.1	26.1	37.7
4.00	154	37.9	37.9	75.6
5.00	99	24.4	24.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	60	14.8	14.8	14.8
2.00	102	25.1	25.1	39.9
3.00	159	38.9	38.9	79.1
4.00	63	15.5	15.5	94.6
5.00	22	5.4	5.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ2)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	16	3.9	3.9	4.9
3.00	78	19.2	19.2	24.1
4.00	195	48.0	48.0	72.2
4.05	1	.2	.2	72.4
5.00	112	27.6	27.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ3)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	8	2.0	2.0	3.0
3.00	114	28.1	28.1	31.0
4.00	173	42.4	42.4	73.6
5.00	107	26.4	26.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ4)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	30	7.4	7.4	8.6
3.00	195	47.8	47.8	56.4
4.00	135	33.3	33.3	89.9
5.00	41	10.1	10.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	24	5.9	5.9	6.9
3.00	164	40.4	40.4	47.3
3.63	1	.2	.2	47.5
4.00	174	42.9	42.9	90.4
5.00	39	9.6	9.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ6)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	35	8.6	8.6	9.6
3.00	226	55.4	55.4	65.0
4.00	121	29.8	29.8	95.1
5.00	20	4.9	4.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ7)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	30	7.4	7.4	7.4
2.00	68	16.7	16.7	24.1
3.00	112	27.3	27.3	51.5
4.00	135	33.3	33.3	85.0
5.00	61	15.0	15.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ8)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	26	6.4	6.4	6.4
2.00	87	21.4	21.4	27.8
3.00	117	28.6	28.6	56.4
4.00	126	31.0	31.0	87.7
5.00	50	12.3	12.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ9)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	22	5.4	5.4	5.4
2.00	80	19.7	19.7	25.1
3.00	125	30.5	30.5	55.7
4.00	127	31.3	31.3	87.2
5.00	52	12.8	12.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ10)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	25	6.2	6.2	6.2
2.00	82	20.2	20.2	26.4
3.00	144	35.2	35.2	61.6
4.00	112	27.6	27.6	89.4
5.00	43	10.6	10.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ11)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	29	7.1	7.1	7.1
2.00	65	16.0	16.0	23.2
3.00	134	32.8	32.8	55.9
4.00	128	31.5	31.5	87.7
5.00	50	12.3	12.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ20)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	19	4.7	4.7	4.7
2.00	29	7.1	7.1	11.8
3.00	135	33.3	33.3	45.1
4.00	147	36.0	36.0	81.3
5.00	76	18.7	18.7	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ12)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	37	9.1	9.1	9.1
2.00	64	15.8	15.8	24.9
3.00	140	34.5	34.5	59.4
3.24	1	.2	.2	59.6
4.00	126	31.0	31.0	90.6
5.00	38	9.4	9.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ13)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	27	6.7	6.7	7.9
3.00	185	45.6	45.6	53.4
4.00	129	31.5	31.5	85.2
5.00	60	14.8	14.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ14)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	2	.5	.5	.5
2.00	8	2.0	2.0	2.5
3.00	119	29.3	29.3	31.8
4.00	182	44.6	44.6	76.6
5.00	95	23.4	23.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	



**SMEAN(EQ15)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2.00	8	2.0	2.0	2.0
3.00	117	28.8	28.8	30.8
Valid 4.00	180	44.1	44.1	75.1
5.00	101	24.9	24.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ16)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2.00	8	2.0	2.0	2.0
3.00	90	22.2	22.2	24.1
Valid 4.00	198	48.5	48.5	72.7
5.00	110	27.1	27.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ17)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	1	.2	.2	.2
2.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.7
Valid 3.00	75	18.5	18.5	20.2
4.00	207	50.7	50.7	70.9
5.00	117	28.8	28.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ18)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2.00	10	2.5	2.5	2.5
3.00	141	34.7	34.7	37.2
Valid 4.00	166	40.6	40.6	78.1
5.00	89	21.9	21.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ19)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	1	.2	.2	.2
2.00	20	4.9	4.9	5.2
3.00	115	28.3	28.3	33.5
4.00	146	35.7	35.7	69.5
5.00	124	30.5	30.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ21)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
2.00	30	7.4	7.4	8.9
3.00	109	26.8	26.8	35.7
4.00	195	47.8	47.8	83.7
5.00	66	16.3	16.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ22)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	3	.7	.7	.7
2.00	23	5.7	5.7	6.4
3.00	124	30.5	30.5	36.9
4.00	163	39.9	39.9	76.8
5.00	93	22.9	22.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ23)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2.00	23	5.7	5.7	5.7
3.00	120	29.6	29.6	35.2
Valid 4.00	184	45.1	45.1	80.5
5.00	79	19.5	19.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ24)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	13	3.2	3.2	3.2
2.00	49	12.1	12.1	15.3
Valid 3.00	173	42.4	42.4	57.6
4.00	121	29.8	29.8	87.7
5.00	50	12.3	12.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ25)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	3	.7	.7	.7
2.00	21	5.2	5.2	5.9
Valid 3.00	106	26.1	26.1	32.0
4.00	201	49.3	49.3	81.5
5.00	75	18.5	18.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ26)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	1	.2	.2	.2
2.00	9	2.2	2.2	2.5
Valid 3.00	103	25.4	25.4	27.8
4.00	199	48.8	48.8	76.8
5.00	94	23.2	23.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ27)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	10	2.5	2.5	3.4
3.00	83	20.4	20.4	23.9
Valid 3.96	1	.2	.2	24.1
4.00	198	48.8	48.8	72.9
5.00	110	27.1	27.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ28)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	3	.7	.7	.7
2.00	30	7.4	7.4	8.1
3.00	200	49.3	49.3	57.4
Valid 3.44	1	.2	.2	57.6
4.00	136	33.5	33.5	91.1
5.00	36	8.9	8.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ29)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	10	2.5	2.5	3.7
Valid 3.00	81	20.0	20.0	23.6
4.00	183	44.8	44.8	68.7
5.00	127	31.3	31.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ30)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
2.00	13	3.2	3.2	4.7
Valid 3.00	125	30.8	30.8	35.5
4.00	157	38.4	38.4	74.1
5.00	105	25.9	25.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ31)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	30	7.4	7.4	7.4
2.00	52	12.8	12.8	20.2
3.00	163	39.9	39.9	60.1
4.00	99	24.4	24.4	84.7
5.00	62	15.3	15.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(gg1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
2.00	27	6.7	6.7	8.1
3.00	70	17.2	17.2	25.4
4.00	126	31.0	31.0	56.4
5.00	177	43.6	43.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(gg3)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	14	3.4	3.4	3.4
2.00	51	12.6	12.6	16.0
3.00	99	24.4	24.4	40.4
4.00	149	36.7	36.7	77.1
5.00	93	22.9	22.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(gg5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	14	3.4	3.4	3.4
2.00	77	19.0	19.0	22.4
3.00	110	27.1	27.1	49.5
4.00	152	37.4	37.4	86.9
5.00	53	13.1	13.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(gg7)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2.00	17	4.2	4.2	4.2
3.00	83	20.4	20.4	24.6
4.00	155	38.2	38.2	62.8
5.00	151	37.2	37.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(gg9)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	27	6.7	6.7	6.7
2.00	118	29.1	29.1	35.7
3.00	122	30.0	30.0	65.8
4.00	97	23.9	23.9	89.7
5.00	42	10.3	10.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(pp1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	9	2.2	2.2	2.2
2.00	5	1.2	1.2	3.4
3.00	19	4.7	4.7	8.1
4.00	69	17.0	17.0	25.1
5.00	304	74.9	74.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(pp5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
2.00	15	3.7	3.7	5.2
3.00	46	11.3	11.3	16.5
4.00	95	23.2	23.2	39.7
5.00	244	60.1	60.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(pp10)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	2	.5	.5	.5
2.00	16	3.9	3.9	4.4
3.00	58	14.3	14.3	18.7
4.00	116	28.6	28.6	47.3
5.00	214	52.7	52.7	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(pp12)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	18	4.4	4.4	4.4
2.00	72	17.7	17.7	22.2
3.00	156	38.4	38.4	60.6
4.00	96	23.6	23.6	84.2
5.00	64	15.8	15.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQEQ1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	22	5.4	5.4	5.4
2.00	63	15.5	15.5	20.9
3.00	159	38.9	38.9	59.9
4.00	102	25.1	25.1	85.2
5.00	60	14.8	14.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

Universiti Utara Malaysia



GET

FILE='D:\New folder\target PhD 2014\MODEL29OGOS\DATA 29OGOS  
FINAL.sav'.

DATASET NAME DataSet1 WINDOW=FRONT.

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=g1\_1 g2\_1 g3\_1 g4\_1 g5\_1 g6\_1 g7\_1 g8\_1 g9\_1  
g10\_1 p1\_1 p2\_1 p3\_1 p4\_1 p5\_1 p6\_1 p7\_1 p8\_1 p9\_1 p10\_1 p11\_1 p12\_1  
p13\_1 EQ1\_1 EQ2\_1 EQ3\_1 EQ4\_1 EQ5\_1 EQ6\_1 EQ7\_1 EQ8\_1 EQ9\_1 EQ10\_1  
EQ11\_1 EQ12\_1 EQ13\_1 EQ14\_1 EQ15\_1 EQ16\_1 EQ17\_1 EQ18\_1 EQ19\_1  
EQ20\_1 EQ21\_1 EQ22\_1 EQ23\_1 EQ24\_1 EQ25\_1 EQ26\_1 EQ27\_1 EQ28\_1  
EQ29\_1 EQ30\_1 EQ31\_1 gg1\_1 gg3\_1 gg5\_1 gg7\_1 gg9\_1 pp1\_1 pp5\_1  
pp10\_1 pp12\_1 EQEQ1\_1

/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

## Frequencies

Notes	
Output Created	25-SEP-2015 15:44:41
Comments	
Input	Data Active Dataset Filter Weight Split File N of Rows in Working Data File
Missing Value Handling	Definition of Missing Cases Used
Syntax	User-defined missing values are treated as missing. Statistics are based on all cases with valid data. FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=g1_1 g2_1 g3_1 g4_1 g5_1 g6_1 g7_1 g8_1 g9_1 g10_1 p1_1 p2_1 p3_1 p4_1 p5_1 p6_1 p7_1 p8_1 p9_1 p10_1 p11_1 p12_1 p13_1 EQ1_1 EQ2_1 EQ3_1 EQ4_1 EQ5_1 EQ6_1 EQ7_1 EQ8_1 EQ9_1 EQ10_1 EQ11_1 EQ12_1 EQ13_1 EQ14_1 EQ15_1 EQ16_1 EQ17_1 EQ18_1 EQ19_1 EQ20_1 EQ21_1 EQ22_1 EQ23_1 EQ24_1 EQ25_1 EQ26_1 EQ27_1 EQ28_1 EQ29_1 EQ30_1 EQ31_1 gg1_1 gg3_1 gg5_1 gg7_1 gg9_1 pp1_1 pp5_1 pp10_1 pp12_1 EQEQ1_1 /ORDER=ANALYSIS.
Resources	Processor Time Elapsed Time
	406 00:00:00.08 00:00:00.06

## Frequency Table

**SMEAN(g1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	177	43.6	43.6	43.6
2.00	126	31.0	31.0	74.6
3.00	70	17.2	17.2	91.9
4.00	27	6.7	6.7	98.5
5.00	6	1.5	1.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g2)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	13	3.2	3.2	3.2
2.00	29	7.1	7.1	10.3
3.00	96	23.6	23.6	34.0
4.00	176	43.3	43.3	77.3
5.00	92	22.7	22.7	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g3)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	93	22.9	22.9	22.9
2.00	149	36.7	36.7	59.6
3.00	99	24.4	24.4	84.0
4.00	51	12.6	12.6	96.6
5.00	14	3.4	3.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g4)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	9	2.2	2.2	2.2
2.00	17	4.2	4.2	6.4
3.00	143	35.2	35.2	41.6
4.00	174	42.9	42.9	84.5
5.00	63	15.5	15.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	53	13.1	13.1	13.1
2.00	152	37.4	37.4	50.5
3.00	110	27.1	27.1	77.6
4.00	77	19.0	19.0	96.6
5.00	14	3.4	3.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g6)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	23	5.7	5.7	6.9
3.00	148	36.5	36.5	43.3
4.00	157	38.7	38.7	82.0
5.00	73	18.0	18.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g7)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	151	37.2	37.2	37.2
2.00	155	38.2	38.2	75.4
3.00	83	20.4	20.4	95.8
4.00	17	4.2	4.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g8)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	10	2.5	2.5	2.5
2.00	10	2.5	2.5	4.9
3.00	60	14.8	14.8	19.7
4.00	161	39.7	39.7	59.4
5.00	165	40.6	40.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g9)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	42	10.3	10.3	10.3
2.00	97	23.9	23.9	34.2
3.00	122	30.0	30.0	64.3
4.00	118	29.1	29.1	93.3
5.00	27	6.7	6.7	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(g10)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	21	5.2	5.2	6.4
3.00	93	22.9	22.9	29.3
4.00	181	44.6	44.6	73.9
5.00	106	26.1	26.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	304	74.9	74.9	74.9
2.00	69	17.0	17.0	91.9
3.00	19	4.7	4.7	96.6
4.00	5	1.2	1.2	97.8
5.00	9	2.2	2.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p2)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2.00	2	.5	.5	.5
3.00	10	2.5	2.5	3.0
4.00	79	19.5	19.5	22.4
5.00	315	77.6	77.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p3)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
3.00	56	13.8	13.8	14.8
4.00	101	24.9	24.9	39.7
5.00	245	60.3	60.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p4)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 3.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
4.00	71	17.5	17.5	19.0
5.00	329	81.0	81.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	244	60.1	60.1	60.1
2.00	94	23.2	23.2	83.3
3.00	46	11.3	11.3	94.6
4.00	15	3.7	3.7	98.3
5.00	6	1.5	1.5	99.8
99.00	1	.2	.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p6)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	8	2.0	2.0	2.0
2.00	16	3.9	3.9	5.9
3.00	48	11.8	11.8	17.7
4.00	166	40.9	40.9	58.6
5.00	168	41.4	41.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p7)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2.00	1	.2	.2	.2
3.00	27	6.7	6.7	6.9
4.00	114	28.1	28.1	35.0
5.00	264	65.0	65.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p8)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	1	.2	.2	.2
2.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.5
3.00	14	3.4	3.4	4.9
4.00	117	28.8	28.8	33.7
5.00	269	66.3	66.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p9)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	7	1.7	1.7	2.7
3.00	84	20.7	20.7	23.4
4.00	196	48.3	48.3	71.7
5.00	115	28.3	28.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**MEAN(p10)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	214	52.7	52.7	52.7
2.00	116	28.6	28.6	81.3
3.00	58	14.3	14.3	95.6
4.00	16	3.9	3.9	99.5
5.00	2	.5	.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p11)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	27	6.7	6.7	6.7
2.00	42	10.3	10.3	17.0
3.00	140	34.5	34.5	51.5
4.00	152	37.4	37.4	88.9
5.00	45	11.1	11.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p12)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	64	15.8	15.8	15.8
2.00	96	23.6	23.6	39.4
3.00	156	38.4	38.4	77.8
4.00	72	17.7	17.7	95.6
5.00	18	4.4	4.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(p13)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	11	2.7	2.7	2.7
2.00	36	8.9	8.9	11.6
3.00	106	26.1	26.1	37.7
4.00	154	37.9	37.9	75.6
5.00	99	24.4	24.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	60	14.8	14.8	14.8
2.00	102	25.1	25.1	39.9
3.00	159	38.9	38.9	79.1
4.00	63	15.5	15.5	94.6
5.00	22	5.4	5.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ2)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	16	3.9	3.9	4.9
3.00	78	19.2	19.2	24.1
4.00	196	48.0	48.0	72.2
5.00	112	27.6	27.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	



**SMEAN(EQ3)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	8	2.0	2.0	3.0
3.00	114	28.1	28.1	31.0
4.00	173	42.4	42.4	73.6
5.00	107	26.4	26.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ4)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	30	7.4	7.4	8.6
3.00	194	47.8	47.8	56.4
4.00	136	33.3	33.3	89.9
5.00	41	10.1	10.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	24	5.9	5.9	6.9
3.00	164	40.4	40.4	47.3
4.00	175	42.9	42.9	90.4
5.00	39	9.6	9.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ6)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	35	8.6	8.6	9.6
3.00	226	55.4	55.4	65.0
4.00	121	29.8	29.8	95.1
5.00	20	4.9	4.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ7)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	30	7.4	7.4	7.4
2.00	68	16.7	16.7	24.1
3.00	112	27.3	27.3	51.5
4.00	135	33.3	33.3	85.0
5.00	61	15.0	15.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ8)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	26	6.4	6.4	6.4
2.00	87	21.4	21.4	27.8
3.00	117	28.6	28.6	56.4
4.00	126	31.0	31.0	87.7
5.00	50	12.3	12.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ9)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	22	5.4	5.4	5.4
2.00	80	19.7	19.7	25.1
3.00	125	30.5	30.5	55.7
4.00	127	31.3	31.3	87.2
5.00	52	12.8	12.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ10)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	25	6.2	6.2	6.2
2.00	82	20.2	20.2	26.4
3.00	144	35.2	35.2	61.6
4.00	112	27.6	27.6	89.4
5.00	43	10.6	10.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ11)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	29	7.1	7.1	7.1
2.00	65	16.0	16.0	23.2
3.00	134	32.8	32.8	55.9
4.00	128	31.5	31.5	87.7
5.00	50	12.3	12.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ20)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	19	4.7	4.7	4.7
2.00	29	7.1	7.1	11.8
3.00	136	33.3	33.3	45.1
4.00	146	36.0	36.0	81.3
5.00	76	18.7	18.7	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ12)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	37	9.1	9.1	9.1
2.00	64	15.8	15.8	24.9
3.00	141	34.5	34.5	59.4
4.00	126	31.0	31.0	90.6
5.00	38	9.4	9.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ13)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	27	6.7	6.7	7.9
3.00	185	45.6	45.6	53.4
4.00	129	31.5	31.5	85.2
5.00	60	14.8	14.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ14)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	2	.5	.5	.5
2.00	8	2.0	2.0	2.5
3.00	119	29.3	29.3	31.8
4.00	182	44.6	44.6	76.6
5.00	95	23.4	23.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ15)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2.00	8	2.0	2.0	2.0
3.00	117	28.8	28.8	30.8
4.00	180	44.1	44.1	75.1
5.00	101	24.9	24.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ16)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2.00	8	2.0	2.0	2.0
3.00	90	22.2	22.2	24.1
4.00	198	48.5	48.5	72.7
5.00	110	27.1	27.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ17)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	1	.2	.2	.2
2.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.7
3.00	75	18.5	18.5	20.2
4.00	207	50.7	50.7	70.9
5.00	117	28.8	28.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ18)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
2.00	10	2.5	2.5	2.5
3.00	141	34.7	34.7	37.2
4.00	166	40.6	40.6	78.1
5.00	89	21.9	21.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ19)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	1	.2	.2	.2
2.00	20	4.9	4.9	5.2
3.00	115	28.3	28.3	33.5
4.00	146	35.7	35.7	69.5
5.00	124	30.5	30.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ21)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
2.00	30	7.4	7.4	8.9
3.00	109	26.8	26.8	35.7
4.00	195	47.8	47.8	83.7
5.00	66	16.3	16.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ22)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	3	.7	.7	.7
2.00	23	5.7	5.7	6.4
3.00	124	30.5	30.5	36.9
4.00	163	39.9	39.9	76.8
5.00	93	22.9	22.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ23)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2.00	23	5.7	5.7	5.7
3.00	120	29.6	29.6	35.2
4.00	184	45.1	45.1	80.5
5.00	79	19.5	19.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ24)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	13	3.2	3.2	3.2
2.00	49	12.1	12.1	15.3
3.00	172	42.4	42.4	57.6
4.00	122	29.8	29.8	87.7
5.00	50	12.3	12.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ25)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	3	.7	.7	.7
2.00	21	5.2	5.2	5.9
3.00	106	26.1	26.1	32.0
4.00	201	49.3	49.3	81.5
5.00	75	18.5	18.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ26)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	1	.2	.2	.2
2.00	9	2.2	2.2	2.5
3.00	103	25.4	25.4	27.8
4.00	199	48.8	48.8	76.8
5.00	94	23.2	23.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ27)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	4	1.0	1.0	1.0
2.00	10	2.5	2.5	3.4
3.00	83	20.4	20.4	23.9
4.00	199	48.8	48.8	72.9
5.00	110	27.1	27.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ28)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	3	.7	.7	.7
2.00	30	7.4	7.4	8.1
3.00	200	49.3	49.3	57.4
4.00	137	33.5	33.5	91.1
5.00	36	8.9	8.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ29)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
1.00	5	1.2	1.2	1.2
2.00	10	2.5	2.5	3.7
3.00	81	20.0	20.0	23.6
4.00	183	44.8	44.8	68.7
5.00	127	31.3	31.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ30)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
2.00	13	3.2	3.2	4.7
3.00	125	30.8	30.8	35.5
4.00	157	38.4	38.4	74.1
5.00	105	25.9	25.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(EQ31)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	30	7.4	7.4	7.4
2.00	52	12.8	12.8	20.2
3.00	163	39.9	39.9	60.1
4.00	99	24.4	24.4	84.7
5.00	62	15.3	15.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(gg1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
2.00	27	6.7	6.7	8.1
3.00	70	17.2	17.2	25.4
4.00	126	31.0	31.0	56.4
5.00	177	43.6	43.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	



**SMEAN(gg3)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	14	3.4	3.4	3.4
2.00	51	12.6	12.6	16.0
3.00	99	24.4	24.4	40.4
4.00	149	36.7	36.7	77.1
5.00	93	22.9	22.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(gg5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	14	3.4	3.4	3.4
2.00	77	19.0	19.0	22.4
3.00	110	27.1	27.1	49.5
4.00	152	37.4	37.4	86.9
5.00	53	13.1	13.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(gg7)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 2.00	17	4.2	4.2	4.2
3.00	83	20.4	20.4	24.6
4.00	155	38.2	38.2	62.8
5.00	151	37.2	37.2	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(gg9)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	27	6.7	6.7	6.7
2.00	118	29.1	29.1	35.7
3.00	122	30.0	30.0	65.8
4.00	97	23.9	23.9	89.7
5.00	42	10.3	10.3	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(pp1)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	9	2.2	2.2	2.2
2.00	5	1.2	1.2	3.4
3.00	19	4.7	4.7	8.1
4.00	69	17.0	17.0	25.1
5.00	304	74.9	74.9	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(pp5)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	6	1.5	1.5	1.5
2.00	15	3.7	3.7	5.2
3.00	46	11.3	11.3	16.5
4.00	95	23.2	23.2	39.7
5.00	244	60.1	60.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**SMEAN(pp10)**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	2	.5	.5	.5
2.00	16	3.9	3.9	4.4
3.00	58	14.3	14.3	18.7
4.00	116	28.6	28.6	47.3
5.00	214	52.7	52.7	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

SMEAN(pp12)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	18	4.4	4.4	4.4
2.00	72	17.7	17.7	22.2
3.00	156	38.4	38.4	60.6
4.00	96	23.6	23.6	84.2
5.00	64	15.8	15.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

SMEAN(EQEQ1)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	22	5.4	5.4	5.4
2.00	63	15.5	15.5	20.9
3.00	159	38.9	38.9	59.9
4.00	102	25.1	25.1	85.2
5.00	60	14.8	14.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

	komunikasi	minat kerja rumah	penglibatan ibu bapa
P11	0.519		
P13	0.389		
P2	0.606		
P3	0.502		
P4	0.559		
P6	0.608		
P7	0.635		
P8	0.709		
P9	0.686		
PI			1.000
PP1	0.472		
PP10		0.721	
PP12		0.470	
PP5	0.578		

Outer loading PI

Fornell-Larcker  
Criterion

	<b>komunikasi</b>	<b>minat kerja rumah</b>	<b>penglibatan ibu bapa</b>
<b>komunikasi</b>	0.588		
<b>minat kerja rumah</b>	0.348	0.571	
<b>penglibatan ibu bapa</b>	0.824	0.782	1.000

Cross Loadings


	<b>komunikasi</b>	<b>minat kerja rumah</b>	<b>penglibatan ibu bapa</b>
<b>P11</b>	0.027	0.519	0.423
<b>P13</b>	0.143	0.389	0.223
<b>P2</b>	0.606	0.145	0.452
<b>P3</b>	0.502	0.122	0.403
<b>P4</b>	0.559	0.116	0.393
<b>P6</b>	0.608	0.350	0.580
<b>P7</b>	0.635	0.198	0.492
<b>P8</b>	0.709	0.257	0.565
<b>P9</b>	0.349	0.686	0.590
<b>PI</b>	0.824	0.782	1.000
<b>PP1</b>	0.472	0.179	0.428
<b>PP10</b>	0.265	0.721	0.577
<b>PP12</b>	0.124	0.470	0.255
<b>PP5</b>	0.578	0.200	0.508

Heterotrait-  
Monotrait Ratio  
(HTMT)


	<b>komunikasi</b>	<b>minat kerja rumah</b>	<b>penglibatan ibu bapa</b>
<b>komunikasi</b>			
<b>minat kerja rumah</b>	0.530		
<b>penglibatan ibu bapa</b>	0.958	1.022	

	COMPOSITE RELIABILITY
<b>komunikasi</b>	0.806
<b>minat kerja rumah</b>	0.697
<b>penglibatan ibu bapa</b>	1.000

# INSERT DIAGRAM PI



## BOOTSTRAPPING PI



```

FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=SEK jan bangsa penbb pkjbb penmm pkjmm bm sn
mm bi
/ORDER=ANALYSIS.

```

## Frequencies

Notes		
Output Created	25-SEP-2015 15:46:26	
Comments		
Input	Data	D:\New folder\target PhD 2014\MODEL29OGOS\DATA 29OGOS FINAL.sav
	Active Dataset	DataSet1
	Filter	<none>
	Weight	<none>
	Split File	<none>
Missing Value Handling	N of Rows in Working Data	406
	File	
	Definition of Missing	User-defined missing values are treated as missing.
Syntax	Cases Used	Statistics are based on all cases with valid data.
		FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=SEK jan bangsa penbb pkjbb penmm pkjmm bm sn mm bi /ORDER=ANALYSIS.
Resources	Processor Time	00:00:00.02
	Elapsed Time	00:00:00.02

[DataSet1] D:\New folder\target PhD 2014\MODEL29OGOS\DATA 29OGOS FINAL.sav

## Frequency Table

### SEK

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid SMK LIMBANG	58	14.3	14.3	14.3
SMKSP	57	14.0	14.0	28.3
SMK MEDAMIT	39	9.6	9.6	37.9
SMK KUBONG	46	11.3	11.3	49.3
SMK TRUSAN	38	9.4	9.4	58.6
SMKSUNDAR	26	6.4	6.4	65.0
SMK LAWAS	65	16.0	16.0	81.0
SMK MERAPOK	43	10.6	10.6	91.6
SMK AGAMA	34	8.4	8.4	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

### jan

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid LELAKI	159	39.2	39.2	39.2
PEREMPUAN	247	60.8	60.8	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

### bangsa

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid MELAYU	120	29.6	29.6	29.6
CINA	48	11.8	11.8	41.4
IBAN	77	19.0	19.0	60.3
bisaya	41	10.1	10.1	70.4
lunbawang	77	19.0	19.0	89.4
lainlain	43	10.6	10.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**penbb**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
TIDAK TAHU	131	32.3	32.3	32.3
TIDAK SEKOLAH	6	1.5	1.5	33.7
SEKOLAH RENDAH	66	16.3	16.3	50.0
SRP/PMR/LCE	58	14.3	14.3	64.3
Valid SPM/MCE	119	29.3	29.3	93.6
SIJIL/DIPLOMA	15	3.7	3.7	97.3
IJAZAH	11	2.7	2.7	100.0
MUDA/SARJANA/PHD				
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**pkjbb**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
TIDAK BEKERJA	38	9.4	9.4	9.4
Valid BEKERJA	368	90.6	90.6	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**penmm**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
TIDAK TAHU	115	28.3	28.3	28.3
TIDAK SEKOLAH	18	4.4	4.4	32.8
SEKOLAH RENDAH	70	17.2	17.2	50.0
SRP/PMR/LCE	52	12.8	12.8	62.8
Valid SPM/MCE	119	29.3	29.3	92.1
SIJIL/DIPLOMA	22	5.4	5.4	97.5
IJAZAH MUDA/SARJANA/PHD	10	2.5	2.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	



**pkjmm**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
TIDAK BEKERJA	284	70.0	70.0	70.0
Valid BEKERJA	122	30.0	30.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**bm**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
E	91	22.4	22.4	22.4
D	194	47.8	47.8	70.2
C	96	23.6	23.6	93.8
Valid B	21	5.2	5.2	99.0
A	4	1.0	1.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

**sn**

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
E	64	15.8	15.8	15.8
D	100	24.6	24.6	40.4
C	146	36.0	36.0	76.4
Valid B	88	21.7	21.7	98.0
A	8	2.0	2.0	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

mm

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
E	90	22.2	22.2	22.2
D	68	16.7	16.7	38.9
C	109	26.8	26.8	65.8
B	129	31.8	31.8	97.5
A	10	2.5	2.5	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

bi

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
E	41	10.1	10.1	10.1
D	95	23.4	23.4	33.5
C	101	24.9	24.9	58.4
B	120	29.6	29.6	87.9
A	49	12.1	12.1	100.0
Total	406	100.0	100.0	

Ujian normality

Descriptives


	Statistic	Std. Error
kecerdasanemosi	Mean	3.6944
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	.02167
	Lower Bound	3.6518
	Upper Bound	3.7370
	5% Trimmed Mean	3.6957
	Median	3.6984
	Variance	.196
	Std. Deviation	.44245
	Minimum	2.57
	Maximum	4.88
	Range	2.31
	Interquartile Range	.61
	Skewness	-.041
	Kurtosis	.120
		-.233
		.238

### Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov(a)			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
kecerdasanemosi	.020	417	.200(*)	.997	417	.647

\* This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a Lilliefors Significance Correction






kecerdasanemosi Stem-and-Leaf Plot

Frequency	Stem &	Leaf
1.00	2 .	&
9.00	2 .	6677
17.00	2 .	88889999
29.00	3 .	00000011111111
50.00	3 .	222222222233333333333333
63.00	3 .	444444444444445555555555555555
77.00	3 .	6666666666666666666666667777777777777777777
67.00	3 .	888888888888888888999999999999999999999999
51.00	4 .	0000000000000011111111111111
28.00	4 .	222222222233333
18.00	4 .	444445555
6.00	4 .	67
1.00	4 .	&



```
Stem width:      1.00
Each leaf:       2 case(s)
```



& denotes fractional leaves.







**UUM**  
Universiti Utara Malaysia














**UUM**  
Universiti Utara Malaysia